arXiv:1110.6337v1 [math.AP] 28 Oct 2011

ON KATO-SOBOLEV SPACES

GRUIA ARSU

Abstract. We investigate some multiplication properties of Kato-Sobolev spaces by adapting the techniques used in the study of Beurling algebras by Coifman and Meyer [Co-Me]. We develop an analytic functional calculus for Kato-Sobolev algebras based on an integral representation formula belonging A. P. Calderón. Also we study the Schatten-von Neumann properties of pseudo-differential operators with symbols in the Kato-Sobolev spaces.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Sobolev spaces of multiple order 2
3. Kato-Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ 11
4. Wiener-Lévy theorem for Kato-Sobolev algebras 22
5. Kato-Sobolev spaces and Schatten-von Neumann class properties for pseudo-differential operators 29
Appendix A. Convolution operators 35
References 37

1. Introduction

In this paper we study some multiplication properties of Kato-Sobolev spaces, we develop an analytic functional calculus for Kato-Sobolev algebras and we prove Schatten-von Neumann class properties for pseudo-differential operators with symbols in the Kato-Sobolev spaces. Kato-Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}$ were introduced in [K] by Tosio Kato and are known as uniformly local Sobolev spaces. The uniformly local Sobolev spaces can be seen as a convenient class of functions with the local Sobolev property and certain boundedness at infinity. We mention that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}$ were defined only for integers $s \geq 0$ and play an essential part in the paper. In this paper, Kato-Sobolev spaces are defined for arbitrary orders and are proved some embedding theorems (in the spirit of the [K]) which expresses the multiplication properties of the Kato-Sobolev spaces. The techniques we use in establishing these results are inspired by techniques used in the study of Beurling algebras by Coifman and Meyer [Co-Me]. Also we develop an analytic functional calculus for Kato-Sobolev algebras based on an integral representation formula of A. P. Calderón. This part corresponds to the section of [K] where the invertible elements of the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35S05, 46E35, 47-XX; Secondary 42B15, 42B35, 46H30.

Key words and phrases. Calderón, Kato, Schatten-von Neumann, Sobolev, Wiener-Lévy theorem, pseudo-differential operators.

are determined and which has as main result a Wiener type lemma for $\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}$. In our case, the main result is the Wiener-Lévy theorem for Kato-Sobolev algebras. This theorem allows a spectral analysis of these algebras. In Section 2 we define Sobolev spaces of multiple order. Uniformly local Sobolev spaces of multiple order were used as spaces of symbols of pseudo-differential operators in many papers [B1], [B2],.... By adapting the techniques of Coifman and Meyer, used in the study of Beurling algebras, we prove a result that allows us to extend the embedding theorems of Kato in the case when the order of $\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}$ is not an integer ≥ 0. In Section 3 we study an increasing family of spaces $\left\{\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p\right\}_{1\leq p\leq\infty}$ for which $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_\infty = \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathtt{ul}}$. The Wiener-Lévy theorem for Kato-Sobolev algebras is established in Section 4. Using this theorem we build an analytic functional calculus for Kato-Sobolev algebras. The Schatten-von Neumann class properties for pseudo-differential operators with symbols in the Kato-Sobolev spaces are presented in the last section.

2. Sobolev spaces of multiple order

Let $j \in \{1,...,n\}$. Suppose that $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$, where $n_1,...,n_j \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We have a partition of variables corresponding to this orthogonal decomposition, $\{1,...,n\} = \bigcup_{l=1}^{j} N_l$, where $N_l = \{k : n_0 + ... + n_{l-1} < k \leq n_0 + ... + n_l\}$. Here $n_0 = 0$ such that $N_1 = \{1,...,n_1\}$.

Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1,...,s_j) \in \mathbb{R}^j$. We find it convenient to introduce the following space

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : (1-\triangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}})^{s_1/2} \otimes ... \otimes (1-\triangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n_j}})^{s_j/2} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\},$$
$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} = \left\|(1-\triangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}})^{s_1/2} \otimes ... \otimes (1-\triangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n_j}})^{s_j/2} u\right\|_{L^2}, \quad u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}.$$

For $\mathbf{s} = (s_1,...,s_j) \in \mathbb{R}^j$ we define the function

$$\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} : \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{R}^{n_j} \to \mathbb{R},$$
$$\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} = \langle\cdot\rangle^{s_1}_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \otimes ... \otimes \langle\cdot\rangle^{s_j}_{\mathbb{R}^{n_j}},$$

where $\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} = \left(1 + |\cdot|^2_{\mathbb{R}^m}\right)^{1/2}$.Then

$$\langle\langle D\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} = (1-\triangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}})^{s_1/2} \otimes ... \otimes (1-\triangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n_j}})^{s_j/2},$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}} = \left\{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \langle\langle D\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\},$$
$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} = \left\|\langle\langle D\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} u\right\|_{L^2}, \quad u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}.$$

Let us note an immediate consequence of Peetre's inequality:

$$\langle\langle\xi+\eta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} \leq 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2} \langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} \langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|}, \quad \xi,\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where $|\mathbf{s}|_1 = |s_1| + ... + |s_j|$ and $|\mathbf{s}| = (|s_1|,...,|s_j|) \in \mathbb{R}^j$. Also we have

$$\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} \leq \langle\xi\rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|_1}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let k be an integer ≥ 0 or $k = \infty$. We shall use the following standard notations:

$$\mathcal{BC}^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^n) : f \textit{ and its derivatives of order } \leq k \textit{ are bounded}\right\},$$
$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{BC}^l} = \max_{m\leq l} \sup_{x\in X} \left\|f^{(m)}(x)\right\| < \infty, \quad l < k+1.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that* $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$. *Let* $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^j$, $k_s = [|\mathbf{s}|_1] + n + 2$ *and* $m_s = \left[|\mathbf{s}|_1 + \frac{n+1}{2}\right] + 1$.

(a) $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *if and only if there is* $l \in \{1, ..., j\}$ *such that* $u, \partial_k u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}-\delta_l}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *for any* $k \in N_l$, *where* $\delta_l = (\delta_{l1}, ..., \delta_{lj})$.

(b) *If* $\chi \in H^{|\mathbf{s}|_1 + \frac{n+1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *then for every* $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *we have* $\chi u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} &\leq C(s, n, \chi) \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \\ &\leq C(s, n) \|\chi\|_{H^{|\mathbf{s}|_1 + \frac{n+1}{2}}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C(s, n, \chi) &= (2\pi)^{-n} 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2} \left(\int \langle \eta \rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|_1} |\widehat{\chi}(\eta)| \, \mathtt{d}\eta \right) \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-n} 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2} \left\| \langle \cdot \rangle^{-n-1} \right\|_{L^1} \|\chi\|_{H^{|\mathbf{s}|_1 + \frac{n+1}{2}}} \\ &= C(s, n) \|\chi\|_{H^{|\mathbf{s}|_1 + \frac{n+1}{2}}} \leq C(s, n) \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_s} \|\partial^\alpha \chi\|_{L^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here $H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is the usual Sobolev space,* $m \in \mathbb{R}$.

(c) *If* $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^{k_s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is* $\mathbb{Z}^n$*-periodic, then for every* $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *we have* $\chi u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(d) *If* $s_1 > n_1/2, ..., s_j > n_j/2$, *then* $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{F}^{-1}L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(e) *Let* $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^j$. *If* $s_1 > n_1/2 + k_1, ..., s_j > n_j/2 + k_j$, *then for every* $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{N}^n = \mathbb{N}^{n_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{N}^{n_j}$, $|\alpha_1| \leq k_1$, ..., $|\alpha_j| \leq k_j$ *we have*

$$u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ \Rightarrow \ \partial^{\alpha_1}_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} ... \partial^{\alpha_j}_{\mathbb{R}^{n_j}} u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{F}^{-1}L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. (a) This part is trivial.

(b) Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H^{|\mathbf{s}|_1 + \frac{n+1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see the $\mathcal{B}_{p.k}$ spaces in Hörmander [Hö1] vol. 2), we can assume that $\chi, u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this case we have

$$\widehat{\chi u}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \widehat{\chi} * \widehat{u}(\xi).$$

Now we use Peetre's inequality and $\langle\langle \xi \rangle\rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|} \leq \langle \xi \rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|_1}$ to obtain

$$\langle\langle \xi \rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} |\widehat{\chi u}(\xi)| \leq (2\pi)^{-n} 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2} \left(\int \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|_1} |\widehat{\chi}(\xi - \eta)| \langle\langle \eta \rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} |\widehat{u}(\eta)| \, \mathtt{d}\eta \right).$$

Then Schur's lemma implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} &= \left\| \langle\langle \cdot \rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} |\widehat{\chi u}| \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-n} 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2} \left(\int \langle \eta \rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|_1} |\widehat{\chi}(\eta)| \, \mathtt{d}\eta \right) \left\| \langle\langle \cdot \rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} |\widehat{u}| \right\|_{L^2} \\ &= C(s, n, \chi) \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \end{aligned}$$

and Schwarz inequality gives the estimate of $C\left(s,n,\chi\right)$

$$\begin{aligned} C\left(s,n,\chi\right) &= \left(2\pi\right)^{-n} 2^{\left|\mathbf{s}\right|_1/2}\left(\int \left\langle \eta\right\rangle^{\left|\mathbf{s}\right|_1}\left|\widehat{\chi}\left(\eta\right)\right| \mathtt{d}\eta\right) \\ &\leq \left(2\pi\right)^{-n} 2^{\left|\mathbf{s}\right|_1/2}\left\|\left\langle\cdot\right\rangle^{-n-1}\right\|_{L^1}\left\|\chi\right\|_{H^{\left|\mathbf{s}\right|_1+\frac{n+1}{2}}} \\ &= C\left(s,n\right)\left\|\chi\right\|_{H^{\left|\mathbf{s}\right|_1+\frac{n+1}{2}}} \leq C\left(s,n\right)\left(\sum_{\left|\alpha\right|\leq m_s}\left\|\partial^\alpha\chi\right\|_{L^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

($\mathtt{c}$) We shall use some results from [Hö1] vol. 1, pp 177-179, concerning periodic distributions. If $\chi\in\mathcal{C}^{k_s}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ is $\mathbb{Z}^n$-periodic, then

$$\chi=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\mathtt{e}^{2\pi\mathtt{i}\left\langle\cdot,\gamma\right\rangle}c_\gamma,$$

with Fourier coefficients

$$c_\gamma=\int_{\mathtt{I}}\chi\left(x\right)\mathtt{e}^{-2\pi\mathtt{i}\left\langle x,\gamma\right\rangle}\mathtt{d}x,\quad \mathtt{I}=\left[0,1\right)^n,\quad \gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n,$$

satisfying

$$\left|c_\gamma\right|\leq Cst\left\|\chi\right\|_{\mathcal{BC}^{k_s}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)}\left\langle 2\pi\gamma\right\rangle^{-k_s},\quad \gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Since $\widehat{\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\left\langle\cdot,\eta\right\rangle}u}=\widehat{u}\left(\cdot-\eta\right)$, then Peetre's inequality implies that

$$\left\|\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\left\langle\cdot,\eta\right\rangle}u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\leq 2^{\left|\mathbf{s}\right|_1/2}\left\langle\left\langle\eta\right\rangle\right\rangle^{\left|\mathbf{s}\right|}\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\leq 2^{\left|\mathbf{s}\right|_1/2}\left\langle\eta\right\rangle^{\left|\mathbf{s}\right|_1}\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\chi u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} &\leq Cst\cdot 2^{\left|\mathbf{s}\right|_1/2}\left\|\chi\right\|_{\mathcal{BC}^{k_s}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)}\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left\langle 2\pi\gamma\right\rangle^{-k_s}\left\langle 2\pi\gamma\right\rangle^{\left|\mathbf{s}\right|_1}\right)\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \\ &\leq Cst\cdot 2^{\left|\mathbf{s}\right|_1/2}\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left\langle 2\pi\gamma\right\rangle^{-n-1}\right)\left\|\chi\right\|_{\mathcal{BC}^{k_s}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)}\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}. \end{aligned}$$

($\mathtt{d}$) Let $u\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}$. If $s_1>n_1/2,...,s_j>n_j/2$, then $\widehat{u}\in L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ since $\left\langle\left\langle\cdot\right\rangle\right\rangle^{-\mathbf{s}}$, $\left\langle\left\langle\cdot\right\rangle\right\rangle^{\mathbf{s}}\widehat{u}\in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$. Now the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma implies the result.

($\mathtt{e}$) is a consequence of ($\mathtt{a}$) and ($\mathtt{d}$). ☐

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ *and* $\theta\in\left[0,2\pi\right]^n$. *If*

$$\varphi_\theta=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\left\langle\gamma,\theta\right\rangle}\varphi\left(\cdot-\gamma\right)=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\left\langle\gamma,\theta\right\rangle}\tau_\gamma\varphi,$$

then

$$\widehat{\varphi}_\theta=\nu_\theta=\left(2\pi\right)^n\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\widehat{\varphi}\left(2\pi\gamma+\theta\right)\delta_{2\pi\gamma+\theta}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\varphi_\theta=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\left\langle\gamma,\theta\right\rangle}\varphi\left(\cdot-\gamma\right)=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\left\langle\gamma,\theta\right\rangle}\delta_\gamma*\varphi=\varphi*\left(\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\left\langle\cdot,\theta\right\rangle}S\right),$$

where $S=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\delta_\gamma$. We apply Poisson's summation formula, $\mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\delta_\gamma\right)=(2\pi)^n\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\delta_{2\pi\gamma}$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\varphi}_\theta &= \widehat{\varphi}\cdot\left(\widehat{\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\langle\cdot,\theta\rangle}S}\right)=\widehat{\varphi}\cdot\tau_\theta\widehat{S}=(2\pi)^n\,\widehat{\varphi}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\delta_{2\pi\gamma+\theta}\\ &= (2\pi)^n\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\widehat{\varphi}\left(2\pi\gamma+\theta\right)\delta_{2\pi\gamma+\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Above and in the rest of the paper for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and for any distribution u on $\mathbb{R}^n$, by $\tau_x u$ we shall denote the translation by x of u, i.e. $\tau_x u=u\left(\cdot-x\right)=\delta_x*u$.

As we already said the techniques of Coifman and Meyer, used in the study of Beurling algebras A_ω and B_ω (see [Co-Me] pp 7-10), can be adapted to the case of Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{H}^{\mathtt{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$. An example is the following result.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $\mathtt{s}\in\mathbb{R}^j$. *Let* $\left\{u_\gamma\right\}_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}$ *be a a family of elements from* $\mathcal{H}^{\mathtt{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)\cap\mathcal{D}'_K\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, *where* $K\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ *is a compact subset such that* $\left(K-K\right)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n=\{0\}$. *Put*

$$u=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\tau_\gamma u_\gamma=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}u_\gamma\left(\cdot-\gamma\right)=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\delta_\gamma*u_\gamma\in\mathcal{D}'\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right).$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

(a) $u\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathtt{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$.

(b) $\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathtt{s}}}<\infty$.

Moreover, there is $C\geq 1$, *which does not depend on the family* $\left\{u_\gamma\right\}_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}$, *such that*

$$C^{-1}\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathtt{s}}}\leq\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathtt{s}}}\right)^{1/2}\leq C\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathtt{s}}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Let us choose $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ such that $\varphi=1$ on K and $\mathtt{supp}\varphi=K'$ satisfies the condition $\left(K'-K'\right)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n=\{0\}$. For $\theta\in\left[0,2\pi\right]^n$ we set

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\theta &= \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\langle\gamma,\theta\rangle}\tau_\gamma\varphi=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\langle\gamma,\theta\rangle}\delta_\gamma*\varphi,\\ u_\theta &= \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\langle\gamma,\theta\rangle}\tau_\gamma u_\gamma=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\langle\gamma,\theta\rangle}\delta_\gamma*u_\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\left(K'-K'\right)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n=\{0\}$ we have

$$u_\theta=\varphi_\theta u,\qquad u=\varphi_\theta u_{-\theta}.$$

Step 1. Suppose first that the family $\left\{u_\gamma\right\}_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}$ has only a finite number of non-zero terms and we shall prove in this case the estimate(2.1). Since u_θ, $u\in\mathcal{E}'\subset\mathcal{S}'$ it follows that

$$\widehat{u}_\theta=\left(2\pi\right)^{-n}\nu_\theta*\widehat{u},\qquad\widehat{u}=\left(2\pi\right)^{-n}\nu_\theta*\widehat{u}_{-\theta},$$

where $\nu_\theta = \widehat{\varphi}_\theta = (2\pi)^n \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{\varphi}(2\pi\gamma+\theta)\,\delta_{2\pi\gamma+\theta}$ is a measure of rapid decay at ∞. Since $\widehat{u}_\theta$, $\widehat{u} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{pol}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we get the pointwise equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{u}_\theta(\xi) &= \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{\varphi}(2\pi\gamma+\theta)\,\widehat{u}(\xi-2\pi\gamma-\theta),\\ \widehat{u}(\xi) &= \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{\varphi}(2\pi\gamma+\theta)\,\widehat{u}_{-\theta}(\xi-2\pi\gamma-\theta).\end{aligned}$$

By using Peetre's inequality we obtain

$$\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}}\left|\widehat{u}_\theta(\xi)\right| \le 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle\langle 2\pi\gamma+\theta\rangle\rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|}\left|\widehat{\varphi}(2\pi\gamma+\theta)\right| \cdot \langle\langle\xi-2\pi\gamma-\theta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}}\left|\widehat{u}(\xi-2\pi\gamma-\theta)\right|,$$

and

$$\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}}\,\widehat{u}(\xi) \le 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle\langle 2\pi\gamma+\theta\rangle\rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|}\left|\widehat{\varphi}(2\pi\gamma+\theta)\right| \cdot \langle\langle\xi-2\pi\gamma-\theta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}}\left|\widehat{u}_{-\theta}(\xi-2\pi\gamma-\theta)\right|.$$

From here we obtain further that

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_\theta\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} &= \left\|\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}}\,\widehat{u}_\theta\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\le 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2}\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle\langle 2\pi\gamma+\theta\rangle\rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|}\left|\widehat{\varphi}(2\pi\gamma+\theta)\right|\right)\left\|\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}}\,\widehat{u}\right\|_{L^2}\\ &= 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2}\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle\langle 2\pi\gamma+\theta\rangle\rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|}\left|\widehat{\varphi}(2\pi\gamma+\theta)\right|\right)\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\\ &= C_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} &\le 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2}\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle\langle 2\pi\gamma+\theta\rangle\rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|}\left|\widehat{\varphi}(2\pi\gamma+\theta)\right|\right)\|u_{-\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\\ &= C_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}\|u_{-\theta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}.\end{aligned}$$

The above estimates can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\int\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^{2\mathbf{s}}\left|\widehat{u}_\theta(\xi)\right|^2\mathtt{d}\xi &\le C^2_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}\|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}},\\ \|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} &\le C^2_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}\int\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^{2\mathbf{s}}\left|\widehat{u}_{-\theta}(\xi)\right|^2\mathtt{d}\xi.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the equality $u_\theta = \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\langle\gamma,\theta\rangle}\tau_\gamma u_\gamma$ implies

$$\widehat{u}_\theta(\xi) = \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\langle\gamma,\theta-\xi\rangle}\widehat{u}_\gamma(\xi)$$

with finite sum. The functions $\theta\to\widehat{u}_{\pm\theta}(\xi)$ are in $L^2([0,2\pi]^n)$ and

$$(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{[0,2\pi]^n}\left|\widehat{u}_{\pm\theta}(\xi)\right|^2\mathtt{d}\theta = \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left|\widehat{u}_\gamma(\xi)\right|^2.$$

Integrating with respect θ the above inequalities we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2 &\leq C_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}^2\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2,\\ \left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2 &\leq C_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}^2\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. The general case is obtained by approximation.

Suppose that $u\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$. Let $\psi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ be such that $\psi=1$ on $B\left(0,1\right)$. Then $\psi^\varepsilon u\to u$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ where $\psi^\varepsilon\left(x\right)=\psi\left(\varepsilon x\right)$, $0<\varepsilon\leq 1$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Also we have

$$\left\|\psi^\varepsilon u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\leq C\left(s,n,\psi\right)\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}},\quad 0<\varepsilon\leq 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}C\left(s,n,\psi\right) &= \left(2\pi\right)^{-n}2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2}\sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1}\left(\int\left\langle\eta\right\rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|_1}\varepsilon^{-n}\left|\widehat{\psi}\left(\eta/\varepsilon\right)\right|\mathtt{d}\eta\right)\\ &= \left(2\pi\right)^{-n}2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2}\sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1}\left(\int\left\langle\varepsilon\eta\right\rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|_1}\left|\widehat{\psi}\left(\eta\right)\right|\mathtt{d}\eta\right)\\ &\leq \left(2\pi\right)^{-n}2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2}\left(\int\left\langle\eta\right\rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|_1}\left|\widehat{\psi}\left(\eta\right)\right|\mathtt{d}\eta\right).\end{aligned}$$

Let $m\in\mathbb{N},m\geq 1$. Then there is ε_m such that for any $\varepsilon\in\left(0,\varepsilon_m\right]$ we have

$$\psi^\varepsilon u=\sum_{|\gamma|\leq m}\tau_\gamma u_\gamma+\sum_{finite}\tau_\gamma\left(\left(\tau_{-\gamma}\psi^\varepsilon\right)u_\gamma\right).$$

By the first part we get that

$$\sum_{|\gamma|\leq m}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2\leq C_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}^2\left\|\psi^\varepsilon u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2\leq C_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}^2C\left(s,n,\psi\right)^2\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2.$$

Since m is arbitrary, it follows that $\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2<\infty$. Further from

$$\sum_{|\gamma|\leq m}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2\leq C_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}^2\left\|\psi^\varepsilon u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2,\quad 0<\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_m,$$

we obtain that

$$\sum_{|\gamma|\leq m}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2\leq C_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}^2\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2,\quad\forall m\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2\leq C_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}^2\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2.$$

Now suppose that $\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2<\infty$. For $m\in\mathbb{N}$, $m\geq 1$ we put $u\left(m\right)=\sum_{|\gamma|\leq m}\tau_\gamma u_\gamma$. Then

$$\left\|u\left(m+p\right)-u\left(m\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2\leq C_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}^2\sum_{m\leq|\gamma|\leq m+p}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2$$

It follows that $\left\{u\left(m\right)\right\}_{m\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$. Let $v\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ be such that $u\left(m\right)\to v$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$. Since $u\left(m\right)\to u$ in $\mathcal{D}'\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, it follows that $u=v$. Hence $u\left(m\right)\to u$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$. Since we have

$$\left\|u\left(m\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2\leq C_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}^2\sum_{|\gamma|\leq m}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2\leq C_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi}^2\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2,\quad\forall m\in\mathbb{N}.$$

we obtain that

$$\|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \leq C^2_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi} \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \|u_\gamma\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}.$$

□

To use the previous result we need a convenient partition of unity. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{x_1, ..., x_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that

$$[0,1]^n \subset \left(x_1 + \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]^n\right) \cup ... \cup \left(x_N + \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]^n\right)$$

Let $\widetilde{h} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\widetilde{h} \geq 0$, be such that $\widetilde{h} = 1$ on $\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]^n$ and $\mathtt{supp}\widetilde{h} \subset \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]^n$. Then

- (a) $\widetilde{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_{\gamma+x_i}\widetilde{h} \in \mathcal{BC}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $\mathbb{Z}^n$-periodic and $\widetilde{H} \geq 1$.
- (b) $h_i = \frac{\tau_{x_i}\widetilde{h}}{\widetilde{H}} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $h_i \geq 0$, $\mathtt{supp}h_i \subset x_i + \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]^n = K_i$, $(K_i - K_i) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \{0\}$, $i = 1, ..., N$.
- (c) $\chi_i = \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_\gamma h_i \in \mathcal{BC}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $\mathbb{Z}^n$-periodic, $i = 1, ..., N$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N \chi_i = 1$.
- (d) $h = \sum_{i=1}^N h_i \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $h \geq 0$, $\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_\gamma h = 1$.

A first consequence of previous results is the next proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let* $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^j$ *and* $m_{\mathbf{s}} = \left[|\mathbf{s}|_1 + \frac{n+1}{2}\right] + 1$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{BC}^{m_s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We use the partition of unity constructed above to obtain a decomposition of u satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2.3. Using Proposition 2.1 (c), it follows that $\chi_i u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $i = 1, ..., N$. We have

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^N \chi_i u$$

with $\chi_i u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\chi_i u = \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_\gamma(h_i\tau_{-\gamma}u), \quad h_i\tau_{-\gamma}u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{D}'_{K_i}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$
$$(K_i - K_i) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \{0\}, \quad i = 1, ..., N.$$

So we can assume that $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is of the form described in Lemma 2.3.

Let $\psi \in \mathcal{BC}^{m_s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\psi u = \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \psi\tau_\gamma u_\gamma = \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_\gamma(\psi_\gamma u_\gamma)$$

with $\psi_\gamma = \varphi(\tau_{-\gamma}\psi)$, where $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the function considered in the proof of Lemma 2.3. We apply Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.1 (b) to obtain

$$\|\psi u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \leq C^2_{\mathbf{s},n,\varphi} \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \|\psi_\gamma u_\gamma\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_\gamma u_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} &\leq Cst\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m_s} \|\partial^\alpha(\varphi(\tau_{-\gamma}\psi))\|_{L^2}\right)\|u_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \\ &\leq Cst\,\|\varphi\|_{H^{m_s}}\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{BC}^{m_s}}\|u_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence another application of Lemma 2.3 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\psi u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^{2} &\leq Cst\left\|\varphi\right\|_{H^{m_s}}^{2}\left\|\psi\right\|_{\mathcal{BC}^{m_s}}^{2}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left\|u_\gamma\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^{2}\\ &\leq Cst\left\|\varphi\right\|_{H^{m_s}}^{2}\left\|\psi\right\|_{\mathcal{BC}^{m_s}}^{2}\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^{2}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.5. *Let* $\mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{R}^j$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{BC}^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)\cdot\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)\subset\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right).$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let* $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2\geq 0,\ \lambda_1+\lambda_2>n/2$. *Then*

$$\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\lambda_1}*\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\lambda_2}\leq\left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\right\|_{L^1}$$

Proof. The case $\lambda_1\cdot\lambda_2=0$ is trivial. Thus we may assume that $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2>0$, $\lambda_1+\lambda_2>n/2$. Then

$$\langle\cdot\rangle^{-2\lambda_j}\in L^{p_j},\quad p_j=\frac{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}{\lambda_j}>1,\quad j=1,2.$$

Since $\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}=1$, by using Hölder's inequality we get

$$\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\lambda_1}*\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\lambda_2}\leq\left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\lambda_1}\right\|_{L^{p_1}}\left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\lambda_2}\right\|_{L^{p_2}}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{-2\lambda_j}\right\|_{L^{p_j}}^{p_j} &= \int\left[\left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{-\lambda_j}\right]^{\frac{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}{\lambda_j}}\mathsf{d}\xi\\ &= \int\left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{-\lambda_1-\lambda_2}\mathsf{d}\xi\\ &= \left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\right\|_{L^1},\quad j=1,2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\lambda_1}*\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\lambda_2} &\leq \left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\lambda_1}\right\|_{L^{p_1}}\left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\lambda_2}\right\|_{L^{p_2}}\\ &= \left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\right\|_{L^1}^{\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}}\\ &= \left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}\right\|_{L^1}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.7. *Let* $s,\ t\in\mathbb{R},\ s+t>n/2$. *For* $\varepsilon\in(0,s+t-n/2)$ *we put* $\sigma(\varepsilon)=\min\{s,t,s+t-n/2-\varepsilon\}$. *Then*

$$\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2s}*\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2t}\leq C\left(s,t,\varepsilon,n\right)\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\sigma(\varepsilon)}.$$

where

$$C\left(s,t,\varepsilon,n\right)=\begin{cases}2^{2\sigma(\varepsilon)+1}\left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(s+t-\sigma(\varepsilon))}\right\|_{L^1} & \text{if}\quad s,t\geq 0,\\ 2^{|\sigma(\varepsilon)|}\left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(s+t)}\right\|_{L^1} & \text{if}\quad s<0\ \text{or}\ t<0.\end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us write σ for $\sigma(\varepsilon)$.

Step 1. The case $s,t\geq 0$. We have

$$\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2s}*\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2t}(\xi)=\int_{|\eta-\xi|\geq\frac{1}{2}|\xi|}\langle\xi-\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2s}\langle\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2t}\,\mathtt{d}\eta+\int_{|\eta-\xi|\leq\frac{1}{2}|\xi|}\langle\xi-\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2s}\langle\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2t}\,\mathtt{d}\eta$$

(a) If $|\eta-\xi|\geq\frac{1}{2}|\xi|$, then

$$\frac{1}{1+|\xi-\eta|^2}\leq\frac{4}{1+|\xi|^2}\Leftrightarrow\langle\xi-\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-1}\leq 2\langle\xi\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\xi-\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2s}&=\langle\xi-\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\sigma}\cdot\langle\xi-\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(s-\sigma)}\\&\leq 2^{2\sigma}\langle\xi\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\sigma}\langle\xi-\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(s-\sigma)}\end{aligned}$$

Since $s-\sigma+t=s+t-n/2-\varepsilon-\sigma+n/2+\varepsilon\geq n/2+\varepsilon>n/2$, the previous lemma allows to evaluate the integral on the domain $|\eta-\xi|\geq\frac{1}{2}|\xi|$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|\eta-\xi|\geq\frac{1}{2}|\xi|}\langle\xi-\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2s}\langle\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2t}\,\mathtt{d}\eta&\leq 2^{2\sigma}\langle\xi\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\sigma}\int_{|\eta-\xi|\geq\frac{1}{2}|\xi|}\langle\xi-\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(s-\sigma)}\langle\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2t}\,\mathtt{d}\eta\\&\leq 2^{2\sigma}\langle\xi\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\sigma}\left(\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(s-\sigma)}*\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2t}\right)(\xi)\\&\leq 2^{2\sigma}\left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(s+t-\sigma)}\right\|_{L^1}\langle\xi\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\sigma}\end{aligned}$$

(b) If $|\eta-\xi|\leq\frac{1}{2}|\xi|$, then $|\eta|\geq|\xi|-|\eta-\xi|\geq\frac{1}{2}|\xi|$. We can therefore use (a) to evaluate the integral on the domain $|\eta-\xi|\leq\frac{1}{2}|\xi|$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|\eta-\xi|\leq\frac{1}{2}|\xi|}\langle\xi-\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2s}\langle\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2t}\,\mathtt{d}\eta&\leq\int_{|\eta|\geq\frac{1}{2}|\xi|}\langle\xi-\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2s}\langle\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2t}\,\mathtt{d}\eta\\&=\int_{|\zeta-\xi|\geq\frac{1}{2}|\xi|}\langle\zeta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2s}\langle\xi-\zeta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2t}\,\mathtt{d}\zeta\\&\leq 2^{2\sigma}\left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(s+t-\sigma)}\right\|_{L^1}\langle\xi\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\sigma}\end{aligned}$$

(c) From (a) and (b) we obtain

$$\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2s}*\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2t}\leq 2^{2\sigma+1}\left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(s+t-\sigma)}\right\|_{L^1}\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\sigma}.$$

Step 2. Next we consider the case $s<0$ or $t<0$. If $s<0$ and $s+t>n/2$, then $\sigma=s$. In this case we use Peetre's inequality to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2s}*\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2t}(\xi)&=\int\langle\xi-\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2s}\langle\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2t}\,\mathtt{d}\eta\\&\leq 2^{|s|}\int\langle\xi\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2s}\langle\eta\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(s+t)}\,\mathtt{d}\eta\\&=2^{|\sigma|}\left\|\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2(s+t)}\right\|_{L^1}\langle\xi\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-2\sigma}\end{aligned}$$

The case $t<0$ can be treated similarly. □

Since $\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}}=\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}}^{s_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n_j}}^{s_j}$, $\mathbf{s}=(s_1,\ldots,s_j)\in\mathbb{R}^j$ we obtain

Corollary 2.8. *Let* $\mathbf{s}$, $\mathbf{t}$, ε, $\sigma(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^j$ *such that,* $s_l + t_l > n_l/2$, $0 < \varepsilon_l < s_l + t_l - n_l/2$, $\sigma_l(\varepsilon) = \sigma_l(\varepsilon_l) = \min\{s_l, t_l, s_l + t_l - n_l/2 - \varepsilon_l\}$ *for any* $l \in \{1, ..., j\}$. *Then there is* $C(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, \varepsilon, n) > 0$ *such that*

$$\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^{-2\mathbf{s}} * \langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^{-2\mathbf{t}} \leq C(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, \varepsilon, n) \langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^{-2\sigma(\varepsilon)}.$$

Proposition 2.9. *Let* $\mathbf{s}$, $\mathbf{t}$, ε, $\sigma(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^j$ *such that,* $s_l + t_l > n_l/2$, $0 < \varepsilon_l < s_l + t_l - n_l/2$, $\sigma_l(\varepsilon) = \sigma_l(\varepsilon_l) = \min\{s_l, t_l, s_l + t_l - n_l/2 - \varepsilon_l\}$ *for any* $l \in \{1, ..., j\}$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{H}^{\sigma(\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Proof. Let us write σ for $\sigma(\varepsilon)$. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u \cdot v\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^\sigma} = \|\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^\sigma \widehat{u \cdot v}\|^2_{L^2} = \int |\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^\sigma \widehat{u \cdot v}(\xi)|^2 \, \mathtt{d}\xi \\ = (2\pi)^{-2n} \int |\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^\sigma \widehat{u} * \widehat{v}(\xi)|^2 \, \mathtt{d}\xi \end{aligned}$$

By using Schwarz's inequality and the above corollary we can estimate the integrand as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^\sigma \widehat{u} * \widehat{v}(\xi)|^2 &\leq \left(\int |\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} \widehat{u}(\eta)| \left|\langle\langle\xi - \eta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{t}} \widehat{v}(\xi - \eta)\right| \frac{\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^\sigma}{\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} \langle\langle\xi - \eta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{t}}} \mathtt{d}\eta \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\int |\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} \widehat{u}(\eta)|^2 \left|\langle\langle\xi - \eta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{t}} \widehat{v}(\xi - \eta)\right|^2 \mathtt{d}\eta \right) \left(\int \frac{\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^{2\sigma}}{\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle^{2\mathbf{s}} \langle\langle\xi - \eta\rangle\rangle^{2\mathbf{t}}} \mathtt{d}\eta \right) \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, \varepsilon, n) \int |\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} \widehat{u}(\eta)|^2 \left|\langle\langle\xi - \eta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{t}} \widehat{v}(\xi - \eta)\right|^2 \mathtt{d}\eta \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|u \cdot v\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^\sigma} &\leq C'(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, \varepsilon, n) \int \left(\int |\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} \widehat{u}(\eta)|^2 \left|\langle\langle\xi - \eta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{t}} \widehat{v}(\xi - \eta)\right|^2 \mathtt{d}\eta \right) \mathtt{d}\xi \\ &= C'(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, \varepsilon, n) \|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \|v\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{t}}} \end{aligned}$$

To conclude we use the fact that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in any $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

Corollary 2.10. *Let* $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^j$. *If* $s_1 > n_1/2, ..., s_j > n_j/2$, *then* $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is a Banach algebra.*

3. Kato-Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

We begin by proving some results that will be useful later. Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). Then the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n &\ni (x, y) \xrightarrow{f} \varphi(x)\psi(x - y) = (\varphi\tau_y\psi)(x) \in \mathbb{C}, \\ \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n &\ni (x, y) \xrightarrow{g} \varphi(y)\psi(x - y) = \varphi(y)(\tau_y\psi)(x) \in \mathbb{C}, \end{aligned}$$

are in $\mathcal{C}^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ (respectively in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$). To see this we note that

$$f = (\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ T, \quad g = (\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ S$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T &: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad T\left(x,y\right) = \left(x, x-y\right), \quad T \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathtt{I} & 0 \\ \mathtt{I} & -\mathtt{I} \end{pmatrix}, \\ S &: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad S\left(x,y\right) = \left(y, x-y\right), \quad S \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathtt{I} \\ \mathtt{I} & -\mathtt{I} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ (or $u \in \mathcal{S}'\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$). Then using Fubini theorem for distributions we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle u \otimes 1, f \right\rangle &= \left\langle \left(u \otimes 1\right)\left(x,y\right), \varphi\left(x\right)\psi\left(x-y\right) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle u\left(x\right), \left\langle 1\left(y\right), \varphi\left(x\right)\psi\left(x-y\right) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle u\left(x\right), \varphi\left(x\right)\left\langle 1\left(y\right), \psi\left(x-y\right) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle u\left(x\right), \varphi\left(x\right)\int \psi\left(x-y\right) \mathtt{d}y \right\rangle \\ &= \left(\int \psi\right)\left\langle u, \varphi \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle u \otimes 1, f \right\rangle &= \left\langle 1\left(y\right), \left\langle u\left(x\right), \varphi\left(x\right)\psi\left(x-y\right) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \int \left\langle u, \varphi\tau_y\psi \right\rangle \mathtt{d}y. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left(\int \psi\right)\left\langle u, \varphi \right\rangle = \int \left\langle u, \varphi\tau_y\psi \right\rangle \mathtt{d}y$$

valid for

(i) $u \in \mathcal{D}'\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$;
(ii) $u \in \mathcal{S}'\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle u \otimes 1, g \right\rangle &= \left\langle \left(u \otimes 1\right)\left(x,y\right), \varphi\left(y\right)\psi\left(x-y\right) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle u\left(x\right), \left\langle 1\left(y\right), \varphi\left(y\right)\psi\left(x-y\right) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle u\left(x\right), \left(\varphi * \psi\right)\left(x\right) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle u, \varphi * \psi \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle u \otimes 1, g \right\rangle &= \left\langle 1\left(y\right), \left\langle u\left(x\right), \varphi\left(y\right)\psi\left(x-y\right) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \int \varphi\left(y\right)\left\langle u, \tau_y\psi \right\rangle \mathtt{d}y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left\langle u, \varphi * \psi \right\rangle = \int \varphi\left(y\right)\left\langle u, \tau_y\psi \right\rangle \mathtt{d}y$$

true for

(i) $u \in \mathcal{D}'\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$;
(ii) $u \in \mathcal{S}'\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *(or* $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*) and* $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *(or* $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$*). Then*

$$\left(\int \psi\right) \langle u, \varphi\rangle = \int \langle u, \varphi \tau_y \psi\rangle \, \mathtt{d}y \tag{3.1}$$

$$\langle u, \varphi * \psi\rangle = \int \varphi(y) \langle u, \tau_y \psi\rangle \, \mathtt{d}y \tag{3.2}$$

If $\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n$ is a basis in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we say that $\Gamma = \oplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_j$ is a lattice.

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \tau_\gamma \psi = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \psi(\cdot - \gamma)$ is uniformly convergent on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since $\partial^\alpha \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that there is $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\Psi = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \tau_\gamma \psi = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \psi(\cdot - \gamma) \quad \textit{in } \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover we have $\tau_\gamma \Psi = \Psi(\cdot - \gamma) = \Psi$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. From here we obtain that $\Psi \in \mathcal{BC}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\Psi(y) \neq 0$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\frac{1}{\Psi} \in \mathcal{BC}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\varphi\Psi = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \varphi(\tau_\gamma \psi)$$

with the series convergent in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \langle x\rangle^k \left|\partial^\alpha \varphi(x) \partial^\beta \psi(x-\gamma)\right| \\
&\qquad \le \sup_y \langle y\rangle^{n+1} \left|\partial^\beta \psi(y)\right| \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \langle x\rangle^k \left|\partial^\alpha \varphi(x) \langle x-\gamma\rangle^{-n-1}\right| \\
&\qquad \le 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \sup_y \langle y\rangle^{n+1} \left|\partial^\beta \psi(y)\right| \sup_z \langle z\rangle^{k+n+1} \left|\partial^\alpha \varphi(z)\right| \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \langle \gamma\rangle^{-n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This estimate proves the convergence of the series in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let χ be the sum of the series $\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \varphi(\tau_\gamma\psi)$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(y) &= \langle \delta_y, \chi\rangle = \left\langle \delta_y, \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \varphi(\tau_\gamma\psi)\right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \langle \delta_y, \varphi(\tau_\gamma\psi)\rangle = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \varphi(y) \psi(y-\gamma) \\
&= \varphi(y) \Psi(y).
\end{aligned}$$

So $\varphi\Psi = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \varphi(\tau_\gamma\psi)$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

If $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is replaced by $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the previous observations are trivial.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *(or* $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$*) and* $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *(or* $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*). Then* $\Psi = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \tau_\gamma \psi \in \mathcal{BC}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is* Γ*-periodic and*

$$\langle u, \Psi\varphi\rangle = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \langle u, (\tau_\gamma\psi)\varphi\rangle. \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.3. (a) *Let* $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *Then* $\widehat{\chi u} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty_{pol}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *In fact we have*

$$\widehat{\chi u}(\xi) = \left\langle \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle \cdot,\xi\rangle} u, \chi \right\rangle = \left\langle u, \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle \cdot,\xi\rangle} \chi \right\rangle, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(b) *Let* $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (*or* $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$) *and* $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (*or* $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). *Then*

$$\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (y,\xi) \to \widehat{u\tau_y\chi}(\xi) = \left\langle u, \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle \cdot,\xi\rangle}\chi(\cdot - y)\right\rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

is a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$*-function.*

Proof. Let $q : \mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{R}^n_\xi \to \mathbb{R}$, $q(x,\xi) = \langle x,\xi\rangle$. Then $\mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}q}(u \otimes 1) \in \mathcal{S}'\left(\mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{R}^n_\xi\right)$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^n_\xi\right)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}q}(u\otimes 1), \chi\otimes\varphi\right\rangle &= \left\langle u\otimes 1, \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}q}(\chi\otimes\varphi)\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle u(x), \left\langle 1(\xi), \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}q(x,\xi)}\chi(x)\varphi(\xi)\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle u(x), \chi(x)\left\langle 1(\xi), \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle x,\xi\rangle}\varphi(\xi)\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
&= \langle u, \chi\widehat{\varphi}\rangle = \langle \widehat{\chi u}, \varphi\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \widehat{\chi u}, \varphi\rangle &= \left\langle \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}q}(u\otimes 1), \chi\otimes\varphi\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle 1(\xi), \left\langle u(x), \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle x,\xi\rangle}\chi(x)\varphi(\xi)\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle 1(\xi), \varphi(\xi)\left\langle u, \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle \cdot,\xi\rangle}\chi\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle 1(\xi), \varphi(\xi)\left\langle \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle \cdot,\xi\rangle}u, \chi\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
&= \int \varphi(\xi)\left\langle \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle \cdot,\xi\rangle}u, \chi\right\rangle \mathtt{d}\xi
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that

$$\widehat{\chi u}(\xi) = \left\langle \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle \cdot,\xi\rangle}u, \chi\right\rangle, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

□

Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$) and $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \smallsetminus 0$ (or $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \smallsetminus 0$). Let $\widetilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $\widetilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$)and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By using (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle u\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}, \varphi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\|\chi\|^2_{L^2}}\int \left\langle u\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}, (\tau_y\chi)(\tau_y\overline{\chi})\varphi\right\rangle \mathtt{d}y \\
&= \frac{1}{\|\chi\|^2_{L^2}}\int \left\langle u\tau_y\chi, (\tau_z\widetilde{\chi})(\tau_y\overline{\chi})\varphi\right\rangle \mathtt{d}y,
\end{aligned}$$

$$|\langle u\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}, \varphi\rangle| \le \frac{1}{\|\chi\|^2_{L^2}}\int \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathtt{s}}} \left\|(\tau_z\widetilde{\chi})(\tau_y\overline{\chi})\varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathtt{s}}} \mathtt{d}y.$$

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$) and let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) be such that

$$\Psi = \Psi_{\Gamma,\chi} = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} |\tau_\gamma\chi|^2 > 0.$$

Then $\Psi, \frac{1}{\Psi} \in \mathcal{BC}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and both are Γ-periodic. Let $\widetilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $\widetilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). Using (3.3) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle u\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}, \varphi\rangle &= \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \left\langle u\tau_\gamma\chi, \frac{1}{\Psi}\left(\tau_\gamma\overline{\chi}\right)\left(\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}\right)\varphi \right\rangle, \\
\left|\langle u\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}, \varphi\rangle\right| &\leq \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \left\|u\tau_\gamma\chi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \left\|\frac{1}{\Psi}\left(\tau_\gamma\overline{\chi}\right)\left(\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}\right)\varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}} \\
&\leq C_\Psi \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \left\|u\tau_\gamma\chi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \left\|\left(\tau_\gamma\overline{\chi}\right)\left(\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}\right)\varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we used the Proposition 2.4 and the fact that $\frac{1}{\Psi} \in \mathcal{BC}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

If (Y, μ) is either $\mathbb{R}^n$ with Lebesgue measure or Γ with the counting measure, then the previous estimates can be written as:

$$\left|\langle u\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}, \varphi\rangle\right| \leq Cst \int_Y \left\|u\tau_y\chi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \left\|\left(\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}\right)\left(\tau_y\overline{\chi}\right)\varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}} \mathtt{d}\mu(y)$$

We shall use Proposition 2.4 to estimate $\left\|\left(\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}\right)\left(\tau_y\overline{\chi}\right)\varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}}$. Let us write $m_{\mathbf{s}}$ for $\left[|\mathbf{s}|_1 + \frac{n+1}{2}\right] + 1$. Then we have

$$\left\|\left(\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}\right)\left(\tau_y\overline{\chi}\right)\varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}} \leq Cst \sup_{|\alpha+\beta|\leq m_{\mathbf{s}}} \left|\left(\left(\tau_z\partial^\alpha\widetilde{\chi}\right)\left(\tau_y\partial^\beta\overline{\chi}\right)\right)\right| \left\|\varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}}.$$

For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a continuous seminorm $p = p_{N,\mathbf{s}}$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\tau_z\partial^\alpha\widetilde{\chi}\right)\left(\tau_y\partial^\beta\overline{\chi}\right)(x)\right| &\leq p(\widetilde{\chi})\, p(\chi) \langle x-z\rangle^{-2N} \langle x-y\rangle^{-2N} \\
&\leq 2^N p(\widetilde{\chi})\, p(\chi) \langle 2x-z-y\rangle^{-N} \langle z-y\rangle^{-N} \\
&\leq 2^N p(\widetilde{\chi})\, p(\chi) \langle z-y\rangle^{-N}, \quad |\alpha+\beta| \leq m_{\mathbf{s}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the inequality

$$\langle X\rangle^{-2N} \langle Y\rangle^{-2N} \leq 2^N \langle X+Y\rangle^{-N} \langle X-Y\rangle^{-N}, \quad X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

which is a consequence of Peetre's inequality:

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\langle X+Y\rangle^N &\leq 2^{\frac{N}{2}} \langle X\rangle^N \langle Y\rangle^N \\
\langle X-Y\rangle^N &\leq 2^{\frac{N}{2}} \langle X\rangle^N \langle Y\rangle^N
\end{aligned}\right\} \Rightarrow \langle X+Y\rangle^N \langle X-Y\rangle^N \leq 2^N \langle X\rangle^{2N} \langle Y\rangle^{2N}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{|\alpha+\beta|\leq m_{\mathbf{s}}} \left|\left(\left(\tau_z\partial^\alpha\widetilde{\chi}\right)\left(\tau_y\partial^\beta\overline{\chi}\right)\right)\right| \leq 2^N p_{N,\mathbf{s}}(\widetilde{\chi})\, p_{N,\mathbf{s}}(\chi) \langle z-y\rangle^{-N},$$

$$\left\|\left(\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}\right)\left(\tau_y\overline{\chi}\right)\varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}} \leq C(N, \mathbf{s}, \chi, \widetilde{\chi}) \langle z-y\rangle^{-N} \left\|\varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}},$$

$$\left|\langle u\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}, \varphi\rangle\right| \leq C(N, \mathbf{s}, \chi, \widetilde{\chi}) \left(\int_Y \left\|u\tau_y\chi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \langle z-y\rangle^{-N} \mathtt{d}\mu(y)\right) \left\|\varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}}.$$

The last estimate implies that

$$\left\|u\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \leq C(N, \mathbf{s}, \chi, \widetilde{\chi}) \left(\int_Y \left\|u\tau_y\chi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \langle z-y\rangle^{-N} \mathtt{d}\mu(y)\right)$$

Let $N = n+1$ and $1 \le p < \infty$. If (Z, υ) is either $\mathbb{R}^n$ with Lebesgue measure or a lattice with the counting measure, then Schur's lemma implies

$$\left(\int_Z \|u\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p \,\mathtt{d}\upsilon(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C'(n,\mathbf{s},\chi,\widetilde{\chi}) \left\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{-n-1}\right\|_{L^1}\left(\int_Y \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p \,\mathtt{d}\mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

For $p = \infty$ we have

$$\sup_z \|u\tau_z\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \le C'(n,\mathbf{s},\chi,\widetilde{\chi}) \left\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{-n-1}\right\|_{L^1} \sup_y \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p .$$

By taking different combinations of (Y, μ) and (Z, υ) we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$) and $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \smallsetminus 0$ (or $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \smallsetminus 0$). Let $1 \le p < \infty$.*

(a) *If $\widetilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $\widetilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$), then there is $C(n,\mathbf{s},\chi,\widetilde{\chi}) > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int \|u\tau_{\widetilde{y}}\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p \,\mathtt{d}\widetilde{y}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\le C(n,\mathbf{s},\chi,\widetilde{\chi})\left(\int \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p \,\mathtt{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ \sup_{\widetilde{y}} \|u\tau_{\widetilde{y}}\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} &\le C(n,\mathbf{s},\chi,\widetilde{\chi}) \sup_y \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} .\end{aligned}$$

(b) *If $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice such that*

$$\Psi = \Psi_{\Gamma,\chi} = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} |\tau_\gamma\chi|^2 > 0$$

and $\widetilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $\widetilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$), then there is $C(n,\mathbf{s},\Gamma,\chi,\widetilde{\chi}) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int \|u\tau_{\widetilde{y}}\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p \,\mathtt{d}\widetilde{y}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\le C(n,\mathbf{s},\Gamma,\chi,\widetilde{\chi})\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \|u\tau_\gamma\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ \sup_{\widetilde{y}} \|u\tau_{\widetilde{y}}\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} &\le C(n,\mathbf{s},\Gamma,\chi,\widetilde{\chi}) \sup_\gamma \|u\tau_\gamma\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} .\end{aligned}$$

(c) *If $\widetilde{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $\widetilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $\widetilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$), then there is $C\left(n,\mathbf{s},\widetilde{\Gamma},\chi,\widetilde{\chi}\right) > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sum_{\widetilde{\gamma}\in\widetilde{\Gamma}} \|u\tau_{\widetilde{\gamma}}\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\le C\left(n,\mathbf{s},\widetilde{\Gamma},\chi,\widetilde{\chi}\right)\left(\int \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p \,\mathtt{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ \sup_{\widetilde{\gamma}} \|u\tau_{\widetilde{\gamma}}\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} &\le C\left(n,\mathbf{s},\widetilde{\Gamma},\chi,\widetilde{\chi}\right) \sup_y \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} .\end{aligned}$$

(d) *If $\Gamma, \widetilde{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are lattices such that*

$$\Psi = \Psi_{\Gamma,\chi} = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} |\tau_\gamma\chi|^2 > 0$$

and $\widetilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $\widetilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$), then there is $C\left(n,\mathbf{s},\Gamma,\widetilde{\Gamma},\chi,\widetilde{\chi}\right) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sum_{\widetilde{\gamma}\in\widetilde{\Gamma}} \|u\tau_{\widetilde{\gamma}}\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\le C(n,\mathbf{s},\Gamma,\chi,\widetilde{\chi})\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \|u\tau_\gamma\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ \sup_{\widetilde{\gamma}} \|u\tau_{\widetilde{\gamma}}\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} &\le C(n,\mathbf{s},\Gamma,\chi,\widetilde{\chi}) \sup_\gamma \|u\tau_\gamma\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} .\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.5. *Let* $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^j$ *and* $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *We say that* u *belongs to* $\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *if there is* $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus 0$ *such that the measurable function* $\mathbb{R}^n \ni y \to \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \in \mathbb{R}$ *belongs to* $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *We put*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\mathbf{s},p,\chi} &= \left(\int \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p \,\mathtt{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \|u\|_{\mathbf{s},\infty,\chi} &\equiv \|u\|_{\mathbf{s},\mathtt{ul},\chi} = \sup_y \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.6. (a) *The above definition does not depend on the choice of the function* $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus 0$.

(b) *If* $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus 0$, *then* $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{s},p,\chi}$ *is a norm on* $\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and the topology that defines does not depend on the function* χ.

(c) *Let* $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *be a lattice and* $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *be a function with the property that*

$$\Psi = \Psi_{\Gamma,\chi} = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} |\tau_\gamma\chi|^2 > 0.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni u \to \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \|u\tau_\gamma\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \sup_\gamma \|u\tau_\gamma\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} & p = \infty \end{cases}$$

is a norm on $\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and the topology that defines is the topology of* $\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *We shall use the notation*

$$\|u\|_{\mathbf{s},p,\Gamma,\chi} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \|u\tau_\gamma\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \sup_\gamma \|u\tau_\gamma\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} & p = \infty \end{cases}.$$

(d) *If* $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, *Then*

$$\mathcal{K}_1^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{K}_q^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{K}_\infty^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

(e) *If* $s_1' \leq s_1, \ldots, s_j' \leq s_j$, *then* $\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(f) $\left(\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{s},p,\chi}\right)$ *is a Banach space.*

(g) $u \in \mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *if and only if there is* $l \in \{1, \ldots, j\}$ *such that* $u, \partial_k u \in \mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}-\delta_l}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *for any* $k \in N_l$, *where* $\delta_l = (\delta_{l1}, \ldots, \delta_{lj})$.

(h) *If* $s_1 > n_1/2, \ldots, s_j > n_j/2$, *then* $\mathcal{K}_\infty^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{BC}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. (a) (b) (c) are immediate consequences of the previous proposition.

(d) The inclusions $\mathcal{K}_1^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{K}_q^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{K}_\infty^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are consequences of the elementary inclusions $l^1 \subset l^p \subset l^q \subset l^\infty$. What remains to be shown is the inclusion $\mathcal{K}_\infty^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus 0$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, \varphi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\|\chi\|_{L^2}^2} \int \langle u, (\tau_y\chi)(\tau_y\overline{\chi})\varphi\rangle \,\mathtt{d}y \\ &= \frac{1}{\|\chi\|_{L^2}^2} \int \langle u\tau_y\chi, (\tau_y\overline{\chi})\varphi\rangle \,\mathtt{d}y, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle u,\varphi\rangle| &\leq \frac{1}{\|\chi\|_{L^2}^2}\int |\langle u\tau_y\chi, (\tau_y\overline{\chi})\,\varphi\rangle|\,\mathtt{d}y\\
&\leq \frac{1}{\|\chi\|_{L^2}^2}\int \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\,\|(\tau_y\overline{\chi})\,\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}}\,\mathtt{d}y\\
&\leq \frac{1}{\|\chi\|_{L^2}^2}\,\|u\|_{\mathbf{s},\infty,\chi}\int \|(\tau_y\overline{\chi})\,\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}}\,\mathtt{d}y
\end{aligned}$$

We shall use Proposition 2.4 to estimate $\|(\tau_y\overline{\chi})\,\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}}$. Let $\widetilde{\chi}\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\widetilde{\chi}=1$ on $\mathtt{supp}\chi$. If $m_{\mathbf{s}}=\left[|\mathbf{s}|_1+\frac{n+1}{2}\right]+1$, then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\tau_y\overline{\chi})\,\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}} &\leq C\sup_{|\alpha+\beta|\leq m_{\mathbf{s}}}\left|(\partial^\alpha\varphi)\left(\tau_y\partial^\beta\overline{\chi}\right)\right|\,\|\tau_y\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}}\\
&= C\sup_{|\alpha+\beta|\leq m_{\mathbf{s}}}\left|(\partial^\alpha\varphi)\left(\tau_y\partial^\beta\overline{\chi}\right)\right|\,\|\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Since χ, $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it follows that there is a continuous seminorm $p=p_{n,\mathbf{s}}$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|(\partial^\alpha\varphi)\left(\tau_y\partial^\beta\overline{\chi}\right)(x)\right| &\leq p(\varphi)\,p(\chi)\,\langle x-y\rangle^{-2(n+1)}\,\langle x\rangle^{-2(n+1)}\\
&\leq 2^{n+1}p(\varphi)\,p(\chi)\,\langle 2x-y\rangle^{-(n+1)}\,\langle y\rangle^{-(n+1)}\\
&\leq 2^{n+1}p(\varphi)\,p(\chi)\,\langle y\rangle^{-(n+1)}\,,\quad |\alpha+\beta|\leq m_{\mathbf{s}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\langle u,\varphi\rangle|\leq 2^{n+1}C\frac{1}{\|\chi\|_{L^2}^2}\,\|u\|_{\mathbf{s},\infty,\chi}\left\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{-(n+1)}\right\|_{L^1}\|\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\mathbf{s}}}\,p(\chi)\,p(\varphi)\,.$$

(e) is trivial.

(f) Let $\{u_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\subset\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is sequentially complete, there is $u\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $u_n\to u$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $\Gamma\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice and $\chi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a function with the property that

$$\Psi=\Psi_{\Gamma,\chi}=\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}|\tau_\gamma\chi|^2>0.$$

Then for any $\gamma\in\Gamma$ there is $u_\gamma\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}$ such that $u_n\tau_\gamma\chi\to u_\gamma$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As $u_n\to u$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it follows that $u_\gamma=u\tau_\gamma\chi$ for any $\gamma\in\Gamma$.

Since $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there is $M\in(0,\infty)$ such that $\|u_n\|_{\mathbf{s},p,\Gamma,\chi}\leq M$ for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then there is n_ε such that if m, $n\geq n_\varepsilon$, then $\|u_m-u_n\|_{\mathbf{s},p,\Gamma,\chi}<\varepsilon$.

Let $F\subset\Gamma$ a finite subset. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{\gamma\in F}\|u\tau_\gamma\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \left(\sum_{\gamma\in F}\|u\tau_\gamma\chi-u_n\tau_\gamma\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\sum_{\gamma\in F}\|u_n\tau_\gamma\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&\leq \left(\sum_{\gamma\in F}\|u\tau_\gamma\chi-u_n\tau_\gamma\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+M
\end{aligned}$$

By passing to the limit we obtain $\left(\sum_{\gamma\in F}\|u\tau_\gamma\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\leq M$ for any $F\subset\Gamma$ a finite subset. Hence $u\in\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For $F \subset \Gamma$ a finite subset and $m, n \geq n_\varepsilon$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{\gamma\in F} \|u\tau_\gamma\chi - u_n\tau_\gamma\chi\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{\gamma\in F} \|u\tau_\gamma\chi - u_m\tau_\gamma\chi\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{\gamma\in F} \|u_n\tau_\gamma\chi - u_m\tau_\gamma\chi\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{\gamma\in F} \|u\tau_\gamma\chi - u_m\tau_\gamma\chi\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \varepsilon$$

By letting $m \to \infty$ we obtain $\left(\sum_{\gamma\in F} \|u\tau_\gamma\chi - u_n\tau_\gamma\chi\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \varepsilon$ for any $F \subset \Gamma$ a finite subset and $n \geq n_\varepsilon$. This implies that $u_n \to u$ in $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The case $p = \infty$ is even simpler. ☐

Remark 3.7. *Kato-Sobolev spaces are particular cases of Wiener amalgam spaces. More precisely we have*

$$\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n) = W(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}, L^p)$$

with local component $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and global component* $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *Wiener amalgam spaces were introduced by Hans Georg Feichtinger in 1980.*

Proposition 3.8. *Let* $\mathbf{s}$, $\mathbf{t}$, ε, $\sigma(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^j$ *such that,* $s_l + t_l > n_l/2$, $0 < \varepsilon_l < s_l + t_l - n_l/2$, $\sigma_l(\varepsilon) = \sigma_l(\varepsilon_l) = \min\{s_l, t_l, s_l + t_l - n_l/2 - \varepsilon_l\}$ *for any* $l \in \{1, ..., j\}$. *If* $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$, *then*

$$\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{t}}_q(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{K}^{\sigma}_r(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Proof. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \smallsetminus 0$, $u \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ şi $v \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{t}}_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By using Proposition 2.9 we obtain that $uv\tau_y\chi^2 \in \mathcal{H}^\sigma$ and

$$\left\|uv\tau_y\chi^2\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^\sigma} \leq C\,\|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\,\|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{t}}}$$

Finally, Hölder's inequality implies that

$$\|uv\|_{\sigma,r,\chi^2} \leq C\,\|u\|_{\mathbf{s},p,\chi}\,\|v\|_{\mathbf{s},q,\chi}$$

☐

Corollary 3.9. *Let* $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^j$ *and* $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. *If* $s_1 > n_1/2, ..., s_j > n_j/2$, *then* $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is an ideal in* $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *with respect to the usual product.*

Now using the techniques of Coifman and Meyer, developed for the study of Beurling algebras A_ω and B_ω (see [Co-Me] pp 7-10), we shall prove an interesting result.

Theorem 3.10. $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

To prove the result, we shall use partition of unity built in the previous section. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{x_1, ..., x_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that

$$[0,1]^n \subset \left(x_1 + \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]^n\right) \cup ... \cup \left(x_N + \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]^n\right)$$

Let $\widetilde{h} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\widetilde{h} \geq 0$, be such that $\widetilde{h} = 1$ on $\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]^n$ and $\mathtt{supp}\widetilde{h} \subset \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]^n$. Then

(a) $\widetilde{H}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\tau_{\gamma+x_i}\widetilde{h}\in\mathcal{BC}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ is $\mathbb{Z}^n$-periodic and $\widetilde{H}\geq 1$.

(b) $h_i=\frac{\tau_{x_i}\widetilde{h}}{\widetilde{H}}\in\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, $h_i\geq 0$, $\mathtt{supp}h_i\subset x_i+\left[\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{4}\right]^n=K_i$, $\left(K_i-K_i\right)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n=\{0\}$, $i=1,...,N$.

(c) $\chi_i=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\tau_\gamma h_i\in\mathcal{BC}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ is $\mathbb{Z}^n$-periodic, $i=1,...,N$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{N}\chi_i=1$.

(d) $h=\sum_{i=1}^{N}h_i\in\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, $h\geq 0$, $\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\tau_\gamma h=1$.

Lemma 3.11. $\mathcal{K}_2^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)\subset\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$.

Proof. Let $u\in\mathcal{K}_2^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$. We have

$$u=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\chi_j u\quad \textit{with}\quad \chi_j u=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left(\tau_\gamma h_j\right)u.$$

Since $u\in\mathcal{K}_2^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ applying Proposition 3.4 we get that

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left\|\left(\tau_\gamma h_j\right)u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2<\infty.$$

Using Lemma 2.3 it follows that $\chi_j u\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ and

$$\left\|\chi_j u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\approx\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left\|\left(\tau_\gamma h_j\right)u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq C_j\left\|u\right\|_{\mathbf{s},2}$$

where $\left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathbf{s},2}$ is a fixed norm on $\mathcal{K}_2^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$. So $u=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\chi_j u\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ and

$$\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\leq\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|\chi_j u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\leq\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}C_j\right)\left\|u\right\|_{\mathbf{s},2}.$$

□

Lemma 3.12. $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)\subset\mathcal{K}_2^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$.

Proof. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $u\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$

(ii) $\chi_j u\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, $j=1,...,N$. (Here we use Proposition 2.1 (c))

(iii) $\left\{\left\|\left(\tau_\gamma h_j\right)u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\right\}_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\in l^2\left(\mathbb{Z}^n\right)$, $j=1,...,N$. (Here we use Lemma 2.3)

Since $h=\sum_{j=1}^{N}h_j$ and

$$\left\|\left(\tau_\gamma h\right)u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\leq\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|\left(\tau_\gamma h_j\right)u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}},\quad\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n$$

we get that $\left\{\left\|\left(\tau_\gamma h\right)u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\right\}_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\in l^2\left(\mathbb{Z}^n\right)$. Since $h=\sum_{j=1}^{N}h_j\in\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, $h\geq 0$, $\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\tau_\gamma h=1$ it follows that $u\in\mathcal{K}_2^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|u\right\|_{\mathbf{s},2,h} &\approx \left\|\left\{\left\|\left(\tau_\gamma h\right)u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\right\}_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\right\|_{l^2\left(\mathbb{Z}^n\right)}\\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|\left\{\left\|\left(\tau_\gamma h_j\right)u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\right\}_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\right\|_{l^2\left(\mathbb{Z}^n\right)}\\ &\approx \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|\chi_j u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\leq Cst\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.13 (Kato). *Let* $\mathbf{s}$, $\mathbf{t}$, ε, $\sigma(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^j$ *such that,* $s_l + t_l > n_l/2$, $0 < \varepsilon_l < s_l + t_l - n_l/2$, $\sigma_l(\varepsilon) = \sigma_l(\varepsilon_l) = \min\{s_l, t_l, s_l + t_l - n_l/2 - \varepsilon_l\}$ *for any* $l \in \{1, ..., j\}$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{H}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{t}}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{H}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Lemma 3.14. *If* $1 \le p < \infty$, *then* $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is dense in* $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. `(i)` Let $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\psi = 1$ on $B(0,1)$, $\psi^{\varepsilon}(x) = \psi(\varepsilon x)$, $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\psi^{\varepsilon} u \to u$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover we have

$$\|\psi^{\varepsilon} u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \le C(s, n, \psi) \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C(s, n, \psi) &= (2\pi)^{-n} 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \left(\int \langle \eta \rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|_1} \varepsilon^{-n} \left| \widehat{\psi}(\eta/\varepsilon) \right| \mathtt{d}\eta \right) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \left(\int \langle \varepsilon\eta \rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|_1} \left| \widehat{\psi}(\eta) \right| \mathtt{d}\eta \right) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2} \left(\int \langle \eta \rangle^{|\mathbf{s}|_1} \left| \widehat{\psi}(\eta) \right| \mathtt{d}\eta \right). \end{aligned}$$

`(ii)` Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be an arbitrary finite subset. Then the subadditivity property of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{l^p}$ implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi^{\varepsilon} u - u\|_{\mathbf{s},p,\mathbb{Z}^n,\chi} \le & \left(\sum_{\gamma\in F} \|\psi^{\varepsilon} u \tau_{\gamma}\chi - u\tau_{\gamma}\chi\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n\smallsetminus F} \|\psi^{\varepsilon} u \tau_{\gamma}\chi\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & + \left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n\smallsetminus F} \|u \tau_{\gamma}\chi\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \le & \left(\sum_{\gamma\in F} \|\psi^{\varepsilon} u \tau_{\gamma}\chi - u\tau_{\gamma}\chi\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (C(s,n,\psi)+1) \left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n\smallsetminus F} \|u \tau_{\gamma}\chi\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

By making $\varepsilon \to 0$ we deduce that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \|\psi^{\varepsilon} u - u\|_{\mathbf{s},p,\mathbb{Z}^n,\chi} \le (C(s,n,\psi)+1) \left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n\smallsetminus F} \|u \tau_{\gamma}\chi\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for any $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ finite subset. Hence $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \psi^{\varepsilon} u = u$ in $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The immediate consequence is that

`(iii)` $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

`(iv)` Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\mathtt{supp}\varphi \subset \overline{B(0;1)}$, $\int \varphi(x)\,\mathtt{d}x = 1$. For $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, we set $\varphi_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-n}\varphi(\cdot/\varepsilon)$. Let $K = \mathtt{supp}u + \overline{B(0;1)}$. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \smallsetminus 0$. Then there is a finite set $F = F_{K,\chi} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that

$(\tau_\gamma\chi)(\varphi_\varepsilon * u - u) = 0$ for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n \smallsetminus F$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi_\varepsilon * u - u\|_{\mathbf{s},p,\mathbb{Z}^n,\chi} &= \left(\sum_{\gamma\in F} \|(\tau_\gamma\chi)(\varphi_\varepsilon * u - u)\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\approx \left(\sum_{\gamma\in F} \|(\tau_\gamma\chi)(\varphi_\varepsilon * u - u)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\approx \|\varphi_\varepsilon * u - u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \to 0, \quad \textit{as } \varepsilon \to 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Wiener-Lévy theorem for Kato-Sobolev algebras

We shall work only in the case $j = 1$, i.e. only in the case of the usual Kato-Sobolev spaces. The case $j > 1$ can be treated in the same way but with more complicated notations and statements which can hide the ideas and the beauty of some arguments. So

$$\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : (1 - \triangle_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{s/2} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\},$$
$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} = \left\|(1 - \triangle_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{s/2} u\right\|_{L^2}, \quad u \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}},$$

Let $1 \le p \le \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We say that u belongs to $u \in \mathcal{K}^s_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if there is $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \smallsetminus 0$ such that the measurable function $\mathbb{R}^n \ni y \to \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^s} \in \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We put

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{s,p,\chi} &= \left(\int \|u\tau_y\chi\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^s}\, \mathtt{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \le p < \infty, \\
\|u\|_{s,\infty,\chi} &\equiv \|u\|_{s,\mathtt{ul},\chi} = \sup_y \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

In Kato's notation $\mathcal{K}^s_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the uniformly local Sobolev space of order s.

Lemma 4.1. (a) *$\mathcal{BC}^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{H}^m_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*
(b) *$\mathcal{BC}^{[|s|]+1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. (a) Let $u \in \mathcal{BC}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then using Leibniz's formula

$$\partial^\alpha(u\tau_y\chi) = \sum_{\beta\le\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta u \cdot \tau_y \partial^{\alpha-\beta}\chi$$

we get that $\partial^\alpha(u\tau_y\chi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| \le m$. Also there is $C = C(m,n) > 0$ such that

$$\|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^m} \approx \left(\sum_{|\alpha|\le m} \|\partial^\alpha(u\tau_y\chi)\|^2_{L^2}\right)^{1/2} \le C\|u\|_{\mathcal{BC}^m}\|\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

which implies

$$\|u\|_{m,\mathtt{ul},\chi} \le C\|u\|_{\mathcal{BC}^m}\|\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}.$$

(b) We have $\mathcal{BC}^{[|s|]+1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{H}^{[|s|]+1}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{H}^{|s|}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \geq 0$ be such that $\mathtt{supp}\varphi \subset B(0;1)$, $\int \varphi(x)\,\mathtt{d}x = 1$. For $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, we set $\varphi_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-n}\varphi(\cdot/\varepsilon)$.

Lemma 4.2. *If $s' \leq s$, then*

$$\|\varphi_\varepsilon * u - u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s'}} \leq 2^{1-\min\left\{s-s',1\right\}}\varepsilon^{\min\left\{s-s',1\right\}}\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s}, \quad u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi_\varepsilon * u - u)(\xi) = (\widehat{\varphi}(\varepsilon\xi) - 1)\,\widehat{u}(\xi)$$

with

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\varepsilon\xi) - 1 = \int \left(\mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle x,\varepsilon\xi\rangle} - 1\right)\varphi(x)\,\mathtt{d}x$$

Since $\left|\mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\lambda} - 1\right| \leq |\lambda|$ we get

$$|\widehat{\varphi}(\varepsilon\xi) - 1| \leq \left\{\begin{array}{c} 2\int\varphi(x)\,\mathtt{d}x \\ \varepsilon|\xi|\int|x|\,\varphi(x)\,\mathtt{d}x \end{array}\right. \leq \left\{\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \varepsilon|\xi| \end{array}\right.$$

If $0 \leq s - s' \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\varphi}(\varepsilon\xi) - 1| &= |\widehat{\varphi}(\varepsilon\xi) - 1|^{1-\left(s-s'\right)}|\widehat{\varphi}(\varepsilon\xi) - 1|^{s-s'} \\ &\leq 2^{1-\left(s-s'\right)}\varepsilon^{s-s'}|\xi|^{s-s'} \leq 2^{1-\left(s-s'\right)}\varepsilon^{s-s'}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-s'} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|\varphi_\varepsilon * u - u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s'}} \leq 2^{1-\left(s-s'\right)}\varepsilon^{s-s'}\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s}, \quad u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

If $s' \leq s - 1$, then

$$\|\varphi_\varepsilon * u - u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s'}} \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s'+1}} \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s}, \quad u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

□

Let $\chi, \chi_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus 0$ be such that $\chi_0 = 1$ on $\mathtt{supp}\chi + B(0;1)$. Let $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ we have

$$\tau_y\chi(\varphi_\varepsilon * u - u) = \tau_y\chi(\varphi_\varepsilon * (u\tau_y\chi_0) - u\tau_y\chi_0).$$

Proposition 2.1 and the previous lemma imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_y\chi(\varphi_\varepsilon * u - u)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s'}} &\leq C_{s',\chi}\|\varphi_\varepsilon * (u\tau_y\chi_0) - u\tau_y\chi_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s'}} \\ &\leq C_{s',\chi}2^{1-\min\left\{s-s',1\right\}}\varepsilon^{\min\left\{s-s',1\right\}}\|u\tau_y\chi_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^s} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|\varphi_\varepsilon * u - u\|_{s',\mathtt{ul},\chi} \leq C_{s',\chi}2^{1-\min\left\{s-s',1\right\}}\varepsilon^{\min\left\{s-s',1\right\}}\|u\|_{s,\mathtt{ul},\chi_0}$$

Definition 4.3. $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s\left(s'\right)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{s',\mathtt{ul}}\right)$.

Corollary 4.4. (a) *If $s' < s$, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s\left(s'\right)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

(b) *If $\frac{n}{2} < s' < s$, then $\mathcal{BC}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s\left(s'\right)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. (b) If $s > \frac{n}{2}$, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{BC}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore $\varphi_\varepsilon * \mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{BC}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

□

We need another auxiliary result.

Lemma 4.5. *The map*

$$\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\ni(\varphi,u)\to\varphi*u\in\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is well defined and for any $\chi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)\smallsetminus 0$ *we have the estimate*

$$\|\varphi*u\|_{s,\mathtt{ul},\chi}\leq\|\varphi\|_{L^1}\|u\|_{s,\mathtt{ul},\chi},\quad(\varphi,u)\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. Let $(\varphi,u)\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\chi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)\smallsetminus 0$ and $\psi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then using (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\tau_z\chi(\varphi*u),\psi\rangle &= \langle u,\check{\varphi}*((\tau_z\chi)\psi)\rangle=\int\check{\varphi}(y)\langle u,\tau_y((\tau_z\chi)\psi)\rangle\,\mathtt{d}y\\ &= \int\varphi(y)\langle u,\tau_{-y}((\tau_z\chi)\psi)\rangle\,\mathtt{d}y=\int\varphi(y)\langle(\tau_{z-y}\chi)u,\tau_{-y}\psi\rangle\,\mathtt{d}y,\end{aligned}$$

where $\check{\varphi}(y)=\varphi(-y)$. Since

$$|\langle(\tau_{z-y}\chi)u,\tau_{-y}\psi\rangle|\leq\|(\tau_{z-y}\chi)u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s}\|\tau_{-y}\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}}\leq\|u\|_{s,\mathtt{ul},\chi}\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}}$$

it follows that

$$|\langle\tau_z\chi(\varphi*u),\psi\rangle|\leq\|\varphi\|_{L^1}\|u\|_{s,\mathtt{ul},\chi}\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}}$$

Hence $\tau_z\chi(\varphi*u)\in\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|\tau_z\chi(\varphi*u)\|_{\mathcal{H}^s}\leq\|\varphi\|_{L^1}\|u\|_{s,\mathtt{ul},\chi}$ for every $z\in\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e. $\varphi*u\in\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|\varphi*u\|_{s,\mathtt{ul},\chi}\leq\|\varphi\|_{L^1}\|u\|_{s,\mathtt{ul},\chi}$$

□

Theorem 4.6 (Wiener-Lévy for $\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, weak form)**.** *Let* $\varOmega=\mathring{\varOmega}\subset\mathbb{C}^d$ *and* $\varPhi:\varOmega\to\mathbb{C}$ *a holomorphic function. Let* $s>n/2$.

(a) *If* $u=(u_1,...,u_d)\in\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$ *satisfies the condition* $\overline{u(\mathbb{R}^n)}\subset\varOmega$, *then*

$$\varPhi\circ u\equiv\varPhi(u)\in\mathcal{H}^{s'}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n),\quad\forall s'<s.$$

(b) *Suppose that* $s'\in(n/2,s)$. *If* $u,u_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$, $0<\varepsilon\leq 1$, $\overline{u(\mathbb{R}^n)}\subset\varOmega$ *and* $u_\varepsilon\to u$ *in* $\mathcal{H}^{s'}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$ *as* $\varepsilon\to 0$, *then there is* $\varepsilon_0\in(0,1]$ *such that* $\overline{u_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^n)}\subset\varOmega$ *for every* $0<\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_0$ *and* $\varPhi(u_\varepsilon)\to\varPhi(u)$ *in* $\mathcal{H}^{s'}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *as* $\varepsilon\to 0$.

Proof. On $\mathbb{C}^d$ we shall consider the distance given by the norm

$$|z|_\infty=\max\{|z_1|,...,|z_d|\},\quad z\in\mathbb{C}^d.$$

Let $r=\mathtt{dist}\left(\overline{u(\mathbb{R}^n)},\mathbb{C}^d\smallsetminus\varOmega\right)/8$. Since $\overline{u(\mathbb{R}^n)}\subset\varOmega$ it follows that $r>0$ and

$$\bigcup_{y\in\overline{u(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\overline{B(y;4r)}\subset\varOmega.$$

Let $s'\in(n/2,s)$. On $\mathcal{H}^{s'}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$ we shall consider the norm

$$|||u|||_{s',\mathtt{ul}}=\max\left\{\|u_1\|_{s',\mathtt{ul}},...,\|u_d\|_{s',\mathtt{ul}}\right\},\quad u\in\mathcal{H}^{s'}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{s',\mathtt{ul}}$ is a fixed Banach algebra norm on $\mathcal{H}^{s'}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and on $\mathcal{BC}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$ we shall consider the norm

$$|||u|||_\infty=\max\{\|u_1\|_\infty,...,\|u_d\|_\infty\},\quad u\in\mathcal{BC}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}^{s'}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\subset\mathcal{BC}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there is $C\geq 1$ so that

$$\|\cdot\|_\infty\leq C\|\cdot\|_{s',\mathtt{ul}}$$

According to Corollary 4.4 $\mathcal{BC}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s(s')}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore we find $v=(v_1,...,v_d)\in\mathcal{BC}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$ so that

$$|||u-v|||_{s',\mathtt{ul}}<r/C.$$

Then

$$|||u-v|||_{\infty}\leq C\,|||u-v|||_{s',\mathtt{ul}}<r.$$

Using the last estimate we show that $\overline{v(\mathbb{R}^n)}\subset\bigcup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}B(u(x);r)$. Indeed, if $z\in\overline{v(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, then there is $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$|z-v(x)|_{\infty}<r-|||v-u|||_{\infty}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|z-u(x)|_{\infty} &\leq |z-v(x)|_{\infty}+|v(x)-u(x)|_{\infty}\\ &\leq |z-v(x)|_{\infty}+|||v-u|||_{\infty}\\ &< r-|||v-u|||_{\infty}+|||v-u|||_{\infty}=r\end{aligned}$$

so $z\in B(u(x);r)$.

From $\overline{v(\mathbb{R}^n)}\subset\bigcup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}B(u(x);r)$ we get

$$\overline{v(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\overline{B(0;3r)}\subset\bigcup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}B(u(x);4r)\subset\Omega,$$

hence the map

$$\mathbb{R}^n\times\overline{B(0;3r)}\ni(x,\zeta)\to\Phi(v(x)+\zeta)\in\mathbb{C}.$$

is well defined. Let $\Gamma(r)$ denote the polydisc $(\partial\mathbb{D}(0,3r))^d$. Since $\overline{v(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\Gamma(r)\subset\Omega$ is a compact subset, the map

$$\Gamma(r)\ni\zeta\to\Phi(\zeta+v)\in\mathcal{BC}^{[s']+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\subset\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is continuous.

On the other hand we have

$$(\zeta_1+v_1-u_1)^{-1},...,(\zeta_d+v_d-u_d)^{-1}\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

because $\|u_1-v_1\|_{s',\mathtt{ul}},...,\|u_d-v_d\|_{s',\mathtt{ul}}<r/C\leq r$ and $|\zeta_1|=...=|\zeta_d|=3r$.

It follows that the integral

$$h=\frac{1}{(2\pi\mathtt{i})^d}\int_{\Gamma(r)}\frac{\Phi(\zeta+v)}{(\zeta_1+v_1-u_1)...(\zeta_d+v_d-u_d)}\mathtt{d}\zeta \tag{4.1}$$

defines an element $h\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let

$$\delta_x:\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^n)\subset\mathcal{BC}(\mathbb{R}^n)\to\mathbb{C},\quad w\to w(x),$$

be the evaluation functional at $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}h(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\mathtt{i})^d}\int_{\Gamma(r)}\frac{\Phi(\zeta+v(x))}{(\zeta_1-(u_1(x)-v_1(x)))...(\zeta_d-(u_d(x)-v_d(x)))}\mathtt{d}\zeta\\ &= \Phi(\zeta+v(x))\,|_{\zeta=u(x)-v(x)}=\Phi(u(x))\end{aligned}$$

because $|u(x)-v(x)|_{\infty}\leq|||u-v|||_{\infty}<r$, so $u(x)-v(x)$ is within polydisc $\Gamma(r)$. Hence $h=\Phi\circ u\equiv\Phi(u)\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for any $s'\in(n/2,s)$ so

$$\Phi\circ u\equiv\Phi(u)\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^n),\quad\forall s'<s.$$

($\mathtt{b}$) Let $\varepsilon_0 \in (0,1]$ be such that for any $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ we have

$$|||u - u_\varepsilon|||_{s',\mathtt{ul}} < r/C.$$

Then $|||u - u_\varepsilon|||_\infty \leq C\,|||u - u_\varepsilon|||_{s',\mathtt{ul}} < r$ and $\overline{u_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^n)} \subset \bigcup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} B(u(x);r) \subset \Omega$ for every $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$.

On the other hand we have $|||v - u_\varepsilon|||_{s',\mathtt{ul}} \leq |||v - u|||_{s',\mathtt{ul}} + |||u - u_\varepsilon|||_{s',\mathtt{ul}} < r/C + r/C \leq 2r$. It follows that

$$(\zeta_1 + v_1 - u_{\varepsilon 1})^{-1}, ..., (\zeta_d + v_d - u_{\varepsilon d})^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}^{s'}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

because $\|u_{\varepsilon 1} - v_1\|_{s',\mathtt{ul}}, ..., \|u_{\varepsilon d} - v_d\|_{s',\mathtt{ul}} < 2r$ and $|\zeta_1| = ... = |\zeta_d| = 3r$.

We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(u_\varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\mathtt{i})^d}\int_{\Gamma(r)} \frac{\Phi(\zeta+v)}{(\zeta_1 + v_1 - u_{\varepsilon 1})\dots(\zeta_d + v_d - u_{\varepsilon d})}\mathtt{d}\zeta\\ &\to \frac{1}{(2\pi\mathtt{i})^d}\int_{\Gamma(r)} \frac{\Phi(\zeta+v)}{(\zeta_1 + v_1 - u_1)\dots(\zeta_d + v_d - u_d)}\mathtt{d}\zeta = \Phi(u)\end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. ☐

Remark 4.7. *According to Coquand and Stolzenberg* [CS]*, this type of representation formula, (4.1), was introduced more than 60 years ago by A. P. Calderón.*

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose that* $s > \max\{n/2, 3/4\}$. *Let* $\Omega = \mathring{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ *and* $\Phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ *a holomorphic function. If* $u = (u_1, ..., u_d) \in \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$ *satisfies the condition* $\overline{u(\mathbb{R}^n)} \subset \Omega$*, then*

$$\partial_j \Phi(u) = \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial z_k}(u)\cdot\partial_j u_k, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad j = 1, ..., n.$$

Proof. Let s' be such that $\max\{n/2, 3/4, s-1\} < s' < s$. Then $s' + s' - 1 > n/2$. Let $u = (u_1, ..., u_d) \in (\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n))^d$. We consider the family $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1}$

$$u_\varepsilon = \varphi_\varepsilon * u = (\varphi_\varepsilon * u_1, ..., \varphi_\varepsilon * u_d) \in \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$$

Then $u_\varepsilon \to u$ in $\mathcal{H}^{s'}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\partial_j u_\varepsilon = \varphi_\varepsilon * \partial_j u \in \mathcal{H}^{s-1}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$ and $\partial_j u_\varepsilon \to \partial_j u$ in $\mathcal{H}^{s'-1}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Since $\Phi(u_\varepsilon) \to \Phi(u)$ in $\mathcal{H}^{s'}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{BC}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (Theorem 4.6 ($\mathtt{b}$)), it follows that $\partial_j \Phi(u_\varepsilon) \to \partial_j \Phi(u)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $j = 1, ..., n$.

On the other hand we have

$$\partial_j \Phi(u_\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial z_k}(u_\varepsilon)\cdot\partial_j u_{\varepsilon k}, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad j = 1, ..., n.$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $s' - n/2 - \delta > 0$. Then

$$s' - 1 = \min\{s', s'-1, s' + s' - 1 - n/2 - \delta\}.$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial z_k}(u_\varepsilon) \to \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial z_k}(u), \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}^{s'}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ (\text{Theorem } 4.6\,(\mathtt{b})), \quad k = 1, ..., d,$$

$$\partial_j u_\varepsilon \to \partial_j u, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}^{s'-1}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)^d, \quad j = 1, ..., n,$$

using Proposition 3.8 we get that

$$\partial_j \Phi\left(u_\varepsilon\right)=\sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z_k}\left(u_\varepsilon\right)\cdot\partial_j u_{\varepsilon k}\rightarrow\partial_j \Phi\left(u\right)=\sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z_k}\left(u\right)\cdot\partial_j u_k,\quad \textit{in } \mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s'-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$$

for $j=1,...,n$. Hence

$$\partial_j \Phi\left(u\right)=\sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z_k}\left(u\right)\cdot\partial_j u_k,\quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right),\quad j=1,...,n.$$

□

Remark 4.9. *Let us note that* $\partial_j u_{\varepsilon k}=\varphi_\varepsilon*\partial_j u_k\rightarrow\partial_j u_k$ *in* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s'-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$*, but* $\partial_j u_k\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$*,* $j=1,...,n$*,* $k=1,...,d$*. This remark leads to the complete version of the Wiener-Lévy theorem.*

Theorem 4.10 (Wiener-Lévy for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$)**.** *Suppose that* $s>\max\left\{n/2,3/4\right\}$*. Let* $\Omega=\mathring{\Omega}\subset\mathbb{C}^d$ *and* $\Phi:\Omega\rightarrow\mathbb{C}$ *a holomorphic function. If* $u=\left(u_1,...,u_d\right)\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)^d$ *satisfies the condition* $\overline{u\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)}\subset\Omega$*, then*

$$\Phi\circ u\equiv\Phi\left(u\right)\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right).$$

Proof. Let s' be such that $\max\left\{n/2,3/4,s-1\right\}<s'<s$. Then $s'+s'-1>n/2$. Let $\delta>0$ be such that $s'-n/2-\delta>0$. Then

$$s-1=\min\left\{s',s-1,s'+s-1-n/2-\delta\right\}.$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z_k}\left(u\right)\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s'}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right),\ \text{(Theorem 4.6 (a))},\quad k=1,...,d,$$

$$\partial_j u\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)^d,\quad j=1,...,n,$$

using Proposition 3.8 we get that

$$\partial_j \Phi\left(u\right)=\sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z_k}\left(u\right)\cdot\partial_j u_k\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right),\quad j=1,...,n.$$

Now $\Phi\left(u\right)\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s'}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)\subset\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ and $\partial_j\Phi\left(u\right)\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, $j=1,...,n$ imply $\Phi\left(u\right)\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$. □

Corollary 4.11 (Kato)**.** *Suppose that* $s>\max\left\{n/2,3/4\right\}$*.*

(a) *If* $u\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ *satisfies the condition*

$$\left|u\left(x\right)\right|\geq c>0,\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

then

$$\frac{1}{u}\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right).$$

(b) *If* $u\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$*, then* $\overline{u\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)}$ *is the spectrum of the element* u*.*

Corollary 4.12. *Suppose that* $s>\max\left\{n/2,3/4\right\}$*. If* $u=\left(u_1,...,u_d\right)\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)^d$*, then*

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}}\left(u_1,...,u_d\right)=\overline{u\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)},$$

where $\sigma_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}}\left(u_1,...,u_d\right)$ *is the joint spectrum of the elements* $u_1,...,u_d\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathtt{ul}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$*.*

Proof. Since

$$\delta_x : \mathcal{H}^{s'}_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{BC}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad w \to w(x),$$

is a multiplicative linear functional, Theorem 3.1.14 of [Hö2] implies the inclusion $\overline{u(\mathbb{R}^n)} \subset \sigma_{\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}}(u_1, ..., u_d)$. On the other hand, if $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_d) \notin \overline{u(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, then

$$u_\lambda = \overline{(u_1 - \lambda_1)}(u_1 - \lambda_1) + ... + \overline{(u_d - \lambda_d)}(u_d - \lambda_d) \in \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

satisfies the condition

$$u_\lambda(x) \geq c > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{u_\lambda} \in \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$v_1(u_1 - \lambda_1) + ... + v_d(u_d - \lambda_d) = 1$$

with $v_1 = \overline{(u_1 - \lambda_1)}/u_\lambda, ..., v_d = \overline{(u_d - \lambda_d)}/u_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The last equality expresses precisely that $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}}(u_1, ..., u_d)$. □

Corollary 4.13. *Suppose that* $s > \max\{n/2, 3/4\}$. *Let* $\Omega = \mathring{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ *and* $\Phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ *a holomorphic function.*

(a) *Let* $1 \leq p < \infty$. *If* $u = (u_1, ..., u_d) \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$ *satisfies the condition* $\overline{u_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \times ... \times \overline{u_d(\mathbb{R}^n)} \subset \Omega$ *and if* $\Phi(0) = 0$, *then* $\Phi(u) \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(b) *If* $u = (u_1, ..., u_d) \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$ *satisfies the condition* $\overline{u_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \times ... \times \overline{u_d(\mathbb{R}^n)} \subset \Omega$ *and if* $\Phi(0) = 0$, *then* $\Phi(u) \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. (a) Since $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an ideal in the algebra $\mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that 0 belongs to the spectrum of any element of $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence $0 \in \overline{u_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \times ... \times \overline{u_d(\mathbb{R}^n)} \subset \Omega$. Shrinking Ω if necessary, we can assume that $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times ... \times \Omega_d$ with $\overline{u_k(\mathbb{R}^n)} \subset \Omega_k$, $k = 1, ..., d$. Now we continue by induction on d.

Let $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ be the holomorphic function defined by

$$F(z_1, ..., z_d) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Phi(z_1,...,z_d) - \Phi(0,...,z_d)}{z_1} & if \quad z_1 \neq 0, \\ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z_1}(0, ..., z_d) & if \quad z_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\Phi(z_1, ..., z_d) = z_1 F(z_1, ..., z_d) + \Phi(0, ..., z_d)$, so

$$\Phi(u) = u_1 F(u) + \Phi(0, ..., u_d) \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

because $u_1 F(u) \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Phi(0, ..., u_d) \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by inductive hypothesis.

(b) is a consequence of (a). □

Corollary 4.14 (A division lemma)**.** *Suppose that* $s > \max\{n/2, 3/4\}$. *Let* $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $s + t > n/2$. *Let* $u \in \mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $v \in \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *If* v *satisfies the condition*

$$|v(x)| \geq c > 0, \quad x \in \mathtt{supp} u,$$

then

$$\frac{u}{v} \in \mathcal{H}^{\min\{s,t\}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi = 1$ on $\mathtt{supp}u$, be such that

$$|v(x)| \geq c/2 > 0, \quad x \in \mathtt{supp}\varphi.$$

Then $w = \varphi |v|^2 + c^2(1-\varphi)/4 \in \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $w \geq (\varphi + (1-\varphi))c^2/4 = c^2/4$. If δ satisfies $0 < \delta < \min\{s+t-n/2, s-n/2\}$, then

$$\min\{s,t\} = \min\{s,t,s+t-n/2-\delta\}.$$

By using Corollary 4.11 and Corollary 3.13 we obtain

$$\frac{u}{|v|^2} = \frac{u}{w} \in \mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{H}^{\min\{s,t\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

This proves the lemma since

$$\frac{u}{v} = \overline{v} \cdot \frac{u}{|v|^2} \in \mathcal{H}^s_{\mathtt{ul}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\min\{s,t\}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{H}^{\min\{s,t\}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

□

5. Kato-Sobolev spaces and Schatten-von Neumann class properties for pseudo-differential operators

We begin this section with some interpolation results of Kato-Sobolev spaces. For $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, ..., s_j) \in \mathbb{R}^j$, if

$$F_{\mathbf{s}} = \left\{v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\},$$
$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} = \left\|\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}} v\right\|_{L^2}, \quad v \in F_{\mathbf{s}},$$

then the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ is an isometry (up to a constant factor) from $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $F_{\mathbf{s}}$ and the inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is an isometry (up to a constant factor) from $F_{\mathbf{s}}$ onto $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The interpolation property implies then that $\mathcal{F}$ maps continuously $\left[\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right]_\theta$ into $[F_{\mathbf{s}}, F_{\mathbf{t}}]_\theta$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ maps continuously $[F_{\mathbf{s}}, F_{\mathbf{t}}]_\theta$ into $\left[\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right]_\theta$, so that $\left[\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right]_\theta$ coincides with the tempered distributions whose Fourier transform belongs to $[F_{\mathbf{s}}, F_{\mathbf{t}}]_\theta$ (and one deduces in the same way that it is an isometry if one uses the corresponding norms). Identifying interpolation spaces between Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is then the same question as interpolating between some L^2 spaces with weights. The following lemma is a consequence of this remark and Theorem 1.18.5 in [Tri].

Lemma 5.1. *If* $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^j$ *and* $0 < \theta < 1$ *then*

$$\left[\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right]_\theta = \mathcal{H}^{(1-\theta)\mathbf{s}+\theta\mathbf{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Using the results of [Tri] Subsection 1.18.1 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. *Let* $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^j$, $1 \leq p_0 < \infty$, $1 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$, $0 < \theta < 1$ *and*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \quad \sigma = (1-\theta)\mathbf{s} + \theta\mathbf{t}.$$

Then

$$\left[l^{p_0}(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)), l^{p_1}\left(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)\right]_\theta = l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathcal{H}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

We pass now to the Kato-Sobolev spaces. We choose $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(\cdot - k) = 1$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we define the operator

$$S_k : \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad S_k u = (\tau_k \chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})\, u.$$

Now from the definition of $\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it follows that the linear operator

$$S : \mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad Su = (S_k u)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}$$

is well defined and continuous.

On the other hand, for any $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the operator

$$\begin{aligned} R_\chi &: l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \to \mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ R_\chi &\left((u_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\right) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} (\tau_k\chi)\, u_k \end{aligned}$$

is well defined and continuous.

Let $\underline{\mathbf{u}} = (u_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \in l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Using Proposition 2.4 we get

$$\|(\tau_{k'}\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})(\tau_k\chi)\, u_k\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \le Cst \sup_{|\alpha+\beta|\le m_{\mathbf{s}}} \left|\left((\tau_{k'}\partial^\alpha\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})\left(\tau_k\partial^\beta\chi\right)\right)\right| \|u_k\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}.$$

where $m_{\mathbf{s}} = \left[|\mathbf{s}|_1 + \frac{n+1}{2}\right] + 1$. Now for some continuous seminorm $p = p_{n,\mathbf{s}}$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\left((\tau_{k'}\partial^\alpha\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})\left(\tau_k\partial^\beta\chi\right)\right)(x)\right| &\le p(\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})\, p(\chi) \langle x - k'\rangle^{-2(n+1)} \langle x - k\rangle^{-2(n+1)} \\ &\le 2^{n+1} p(\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})\, p(\chi) \langle 2x - k' - k\rangle^{-n-1} \langle k' - k\rangle^{-n-1} \\ &\le 2^{n+1} p(\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})\, p(\chi) \langle k' - k\rangle^{-n-1}, \quad |\alpha+\beta| \le m_{\mathbf{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{|\alpha+\beta|\le m_{\mathbf{s}}} \left|\left((\tau_{k'}\partial^\alpha\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})\left(\tau_k\partial^\beta\chi\right)\right)\right| \le 2^{n+1} p(\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})\, p(\chi) \langle k' - k\rangle^{-n-1},$$

$$\|(\tau_{k'}\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})(\tau_k\chi)\, u_k\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \le C(n, \mathbf{s}, \chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n}, \chi) \langle k' - k\rangle^{-n-1} \|u_k\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}.$$

The last estimate implies that

$$\|(\tau_{k'}\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})\, R_\chi(\underline{\mathbf{u}})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} \le C(n, \mathbf{s}, \chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n}, \chi) \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k' - k\rangle^{-n-1} \|u_k\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}.$$

Now Schur's lemma implies the result

$$\left(\sum_{k'\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \|(\tau_{k'}\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})\, R_\chi(\underline{\mathbf{u}})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C'(n, \mathbf{s}, \chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n}, \chi) \left\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{-n-1}\right\|_{L^1} \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \|u_k\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

If $\chi = 1$ on a neighborhood of $\mathtt{supp}\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n}$, then $\chi\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n} = \chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ and as a consequence $R_\chi S = \mathtt{Id}_{S_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} R_\chi S u &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} (\tau_k\chi)\, S_k u = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} (\tau_k\chi)(\tau_k\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})\, u \\ &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} (\tau_k\chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n})\, u = u. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved the following result.

Proposition 5.3. *Under the above conditions, the operator* $R_\chi : l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}) \to \mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}$ *is a retraction and the operator* $S : \mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}} \to l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}})$ *is a coretraction.*

Corollary 5.4. *Let* $\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{R}^j$, $1\leq p_0<\infty$, $1\leq p_1\leq\infty$, $0<\theta<1$ *and*

$$\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1-\theta}{p_0}+\frac{\theta}{p_1},\quad \sigma=(1-\theta)\,\mathbf{s}+\theta\mathbf{t}.$$

Then

$$\left[\mathcal{K}_{p_0}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right),\mathcal{K}_{p_1}^{\mathbf{t}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)\right]_\theta=\mathcal{K}_p^{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right).$$

Proof. The last part of Theorem 3.6 $(\mathtt{d})$ shows that $\left\{\mathcal{K}_{p_0}^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right),\mathcal{K}_{p_1}^{\mathbf{t}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)\right\}$ is an interpolation couple (in the sense of the notations of Subsection 1.2.1 of [Tri] one can choose $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{S}'\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$). If F is an interpolation functor, then one obtains by Theorem 1.2.4 of [Tri] that

$$\|u\|_{F\left(\left\{\mathcal{K}_{p_0}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n),\mathcal{K}_{p_1}^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\}\right)}\sim\left\|(S_ku)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\right\|_{F(\{l^{p_0}(\mathbb{Z}^n,\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)),l^{p_1}(\mathbb{Z}^n,\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n))\})}$$

By specialization we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{\left[\mathcal{K}_{p_0}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n),\mathcal{K}_{p_1}^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right]_\theta} &\sim \left\|(S_ku)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\right\|_{[l^{p_0}(\mathbb{Z}^n,\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)),l^{p_1}(\mathbb{Z}^n,\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n))]_\theta}\\ &\sim \left\|(S_ku)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\right\|_{l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n,\mathcal{H}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n))}\\ &\sim \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}_p^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\end{aligned}$$

□

In addition to the above interpolation results we need an embedding theorem which we shall prove below. First we shall recall the definition of spaces that appear in this theorem.

Definition 5.5. *Let* $1\leq p\leq\infty$. *We say that a distribution* $u\in\mathcal{D}'\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ *belongs to* $S_w^p\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ *if there is* $\chi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)\smallsetminus 0$ *such that the measurable function*

$$U_{\chi,p}:\mathbb{R}^n\to[0,+\infty),$$

$$U_{\chi,p}(\xi)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n}\left|\widehat{u\tau_y\chi}(\xi)\right| & \textit{if} & p=\infty\\ \left(\int\left|\widehat{u\tau_y\chi}(\xi)\right|^p\mathtt{d}y\right)^{1/p} & \textit{if} & 1\leq p<\infty\end{array}\right.,$$

$$\widehat{u\tau_y\chi}(\xi)=\left\langle u,\mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle\cdot,\xi\rangle}\chi(\cdot-y)\right\rangle.$$

belongs to $L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$.

These spaces are special cases of modulation spaces. They were used by many authors (Boulkhemair, Gröchenig, Heil, Sjöstrand, Toft ...) in the analysis of pseudodifferential operators defined by symbols more general than usual.

We now list some properties of these spaces.

Proposition 5.6. $(\mathtt{a})$ *Let* $u\in S_w^p\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ *and let* $\chi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$. *Then the measurable function*

$$U_{\chi,p}:\mathbb{R}^n\to[0,+\infty),$$

$$U_{\chi,p}(\xi)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n}\left|\widehat{u\tau_y\chi}(\xi)\right| & \textit{if} & p=\infty\\ \left(\int\left|\widehat{u\tau_y\chi}(\xi)\right|^p\mathtt{d}y\right)^{1/p} & \textit{if} & 1\leq p<\infty\end{array}\right.,$$

$$\widehat{u\tau_y\chi}(\xi)=\left\langle u,\mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle\cdot,\xi\rangle}\chi(\cdot-y)\right\rangle.$$

belongs to $L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$.

(b) *If we fix* $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \smallsetminus 0$ *and if we put*

$$\|u\|_{S_w^p,\chi} = \int U_{\chi,p}(\xi)\,\mathtt{d}\xi = \|U_{\chi,p}\|_{L^1}, \quad u \in S_w(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

then $\|\cdot\|_{S_w^p,\chi}$ *is a norm on* $S_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and the topology that defines does not depend on the choice of the function* $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \smallsetminus 0$.

(c) *Let* $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$. *Then*

$$S_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S_w^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S_w^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = S_w(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{BC}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

(d) *If* $\lambda \in \mathtt{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is invertible and* $u \in S_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *then* $u_\lambda = u \circ \lambda \in S_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and there is* $C \in (0,+\infty)$ *independent of* u *and* λ *such that*

$$\|u_\lambda\|_{S_w^p} \le C\,|\det\lambda|^{-n/p}(1+\|\lambda\|)^n\,\|u\|_{S_w^p}.$$

A proof of this proposition can be found for instance in [A].

Lemma 5.7. *Suppose that* $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$. *If* $s_1 > n_1, \dots, s_j > n_j$ *and* $1 \le p \le \infty$, *then* $\mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\chi, \widetilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \smallsetminus 0$ be such that $\widetilde{\chi} = 1$ on $\mathtt{supp}\chi$. For $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$u\tau_y\chi = (u\tau_y\widetilde{\chi})(\tau_y\chi) \;\Rightarrow\; \widehat{u\tau_y\chi} = (2\pi)^{-n}\,\widehat{u\tau_y\widetilde{\chi}} * \widehat{\tau_y\chi}.$$

Then by Peetre's inequality and by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}}\left|\widehat{u\tau_y\chi}(\xi)\right| &\le 2^{|\mathbf{s}|_1/2}(2\pi)^{-n}\int\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}}\left|\widehat{u\tau_y\widetilde{\chi}}(\eta)\right|\langle\langle\xi-\eta\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}}\left|\widehat{\tau_y\chi}(\xi-\eta)\right|\mathtt{d}\xi \\
&\le C_{s,n}\left\|\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}}\,\widehat{u\tau_y\widetilde{\chi}}\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^{\mathbf{s}}\,\widehat{\tau_y\chi}\right\|_{L^2} \\
&= C'_{s,n}\,\|u\tau_y\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\,\|\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}},
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\left|\widehat{u\tau_y\chi}(\xi)\right| \le C'_{s,n}\,\|u\tau_y\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\,\|\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\,\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^{-\mathbf{s}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{\chi,p}(\xi) &\le C'_{s,n}\,\|\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\,\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^{-\mathbf{s}}\begin{cases}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n}\|u\tau_y\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}} & \textit{if} \quad p=\infty \\ \left(\int\|u\tau_y\widetilde{\chi}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p\,\mathtt{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \textit{if} \quad 1\le p<\infty\end{cases} \\
&\le C'_{s,n}\,\|\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\,\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^{-\mathbf{s}}\begin{cases}\|u\|_{\mathbf{s},\infty,\widetilde{\chi}} & \textit{if} \quad p=\infty \\ \|u\|_{\mathbf{s},p,\widetilde{\chi}} & \textit{if} \quad 1\le p<\infty\end{cases} \\
&\le C'_{s,n}\,\|\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\,\|u\|_{\mathbf{s},p,\widetilde{\chi}}\,\langle\langle\xi\rangle\rangle^{-\mathbf{s}},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|u\|_{S_w^p,\chi} = \|U_{\chi,p}\|_{L^1} \le C'_{s,n}\,\|\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}\left\|\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle^{-\mathbf{s}}\right\|_{L^1}\|u\|_{\mathbf{s},p,\widetilde{\chi}}, \quad u \in \mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

□

In fact, the result proved in the particular orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$ is true for arbitrary orthogonal decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

First we shall associate to an orthogonal decomposition a family of Banach spaces such as those introduced in Section 2 and Section 3. Let $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1,\dots,s_j) \in \mathbb{R}^j$. Let $\mathbb{V} = (V_1,\dots,V_j)$ denote an orthogonal decomposition, i.e.

$$\mathbb{R}^n = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_j.$$

We introduce the Banach space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{H}_{V_1,\dots,V_j}^{s_1,\dots,s_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \left\{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : (1-\triangle_{V_1})^{s_1/2} \otimes \dots \otimes (1-\triangle_{V_k})^{s_j/2} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\},\\ \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{s}}} &= \left\|(1-\triangle_{V_1})^{s_1/2} \otimes \dots \otimes (1-\triangle_{V_k})^{s_j/2} u\right\|_{L^2}, \quad u \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{s}}.\end{aligned}$$

We recall that if $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \to V$ is an isometric linear isomorphism, where V is an euclidean space, then $(1-\triangle_V)^{\gamma/2} u = (1-\triangle)^{\gamma/2}(u \circ \lambda) \circ \lambda^{-1}$ (see appendix A).

Definition 5.8. *Let $1 \le p \le \infty$, $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^j$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We say that u belongs to $\mathcal{K}_{p,\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if there is $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus 0$ such that the measurable function $\mathbb{R}^n \ni y \to \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{s}}} \in \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We put*

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{\mathcal{K}_{p,\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{s}},\chi} &= \left(\int \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{s}}}^p \mathtt{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \le p < \infty,\\ \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\infty,\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{s}},\chi} &\equiv \|u\|_{\mathbf{s},\mathtt{ul},\chi} = \sup_y \|u\tau_y\chi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a orthogonal transformation satisfying $\lambda(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}) = V_1$, ..., $\lambda(\mathbb{R}^{n_k}) = V_k$, then the operators

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) &\ni u \to u \circ \lambda \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n),\\ \mathcal{K}_{p,\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) &\ni u \to u \circ \lambda \in \mathcal{K}_p^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n),\end{aligned}$$

are linear isometric isomorphisms (see appendix A).

A consequence of Corollay 5.4 is is the following remark.

Remark 5.9. *Let $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^j$, $1 \le p_0 < \infty$, $1 \le p_1 \le \infty$, $0 < \theta < 1$ and*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \quad \sigma = (1-\theta)\mathbf{s} + \theta\mathbf{t}.$$

Then

$$\left[\mathcal{K}_{p_0,\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{K}_{p_1,\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right]_\theta = \mathcal{K}_{p,\mathbb{V}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The previous result and the invariance of the ideals S_w^p to the composition with $\lambda \in \mathtt{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ imply the following corollary.

Corollary 5.10. *Suppose that $\mathbb{R}^n = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_j$. If $s_1 > \dim V_1, \dots, s_j > \dim V_j$ and $1 \le p \le \infty$, then $\mathcal{K}_{p,\mathbb{V}}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

The embedding theorem allows us to deal with Schatten-von Neumann class properties of pseudo-differential operators.

Let $\tau \in \mathtt{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{R})$, $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a) v(x) &= a^\tau(X,D) v(x)\\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \iint \mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\langle x-y,\eta\rangle} a((1-\tau)x + \tau y, \eta) v(y) \mathtt{d}y\mathtt{d}\eta.\end{aligned}$$

If $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathtt{Op}_\tau(a) v, u\rangle &= (2\pi)^{-n} \iiint \mathtt{e}^{\mathtt{i}\langle x-y,\eta\rangle} a((1-\tau)x + \tau y, \eta) u(x) v(y) \mathtt{d}x\mathtt{d}y\mathtt{d}\eta\\ &= \left\langle \left(\left(1 \otimes \mathcal{F}^{-1}\right) a\right) \circ \mathtt{C}_\tau, u \otimes v\right\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathtt{C}_\tau : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathtt{C}_\tau(x,y) = ((1-\tau)x + \tau y, x-y).$$

We can define $\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)$ as an operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}')$ for any $a\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)\,v,u\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}'} &= \left\langle \mathcal{K}_{\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)},u\otimes v\right\rangle,\\ \mathcal{K}_{\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)} &= \left(\left(1\otimes\mathcal{F}^{-1}\right)a\right)\circ\mathtt{C}_\tau\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.11. *Suppose that* $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n=V_1\oplus...\oplus V_j$.

(a) *Let* $1\le p<\infty$, $\tau\in\mathtt{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\equiv M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $a\in\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_{p,\mathbb{V}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. *If* $s_1>\dim V_1,...,s_j>\dim V_j$, *then*

$$\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)=a^\tau(X,D)\in\mathcal{B}_p\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$$

where $\mathcal{B}_p\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$ *denote the Schatten ideal of compact operators whose singular values lie in* l^p. *We have*

$$\left\|\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)\right\|_{\mathcal{B}_p}\le Cst\left\|a\right\|\left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_{p,\mathbb{V}}}.$$

Moreover, the mapping

$$\mathtt{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\ni\tau\to\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)=a^\tau(X,D)\in\mathcal{B}_p\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$$

is continuous.

(b) *Let* $\tau\in\mathtt{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\equiv M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $a\in\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\infty,\mathbb{V}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. *If* $s_1>\dim V_1$, ..., $s_j>\dim V_j$, *then*

$$\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)=a^\tau(X,D)\in\mathcal{B}\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$$

We have

$$\left\|\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)\right\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}\le Cst\left\|a\right\|_{\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\infty,\mathbb{V}}}.$$

Moreover, the mapping

$$\mathtt{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\ni\tau\to\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)=a^\tau(X,D)\in\mathcal{B}\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$$

is continuous.

Proof. This theorem is a consequence of the previous corollary and the fact that it is true for pseudo-differential operators with symbols in $S^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see for instance [A] for $1\le p<\infty$ and [B2] for $p=\infty$). □

Theorem 5.12. *Suppose that* $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n=V_1\oplus...\oplus V_j$. *Let* $\mathbf{n}=(\dim V_1,...,\dim V_j)$, $\mu>1$ *and* $1\le p<\infty$. *If* $\tau\in\mathtt{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\equiv M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $a\in\mathcal{K}^{\mu\mathbf{n}|1-2/p|}_{p,\mathbb{V}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ *then*

$$\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)=a^\tau(X,D)\in\mathcal{B}_p\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right).$$

Moreover, the mapping

$$\mathtt{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\ni\tau\to\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)=a^\tau(X,D)\in\mathcal{B}_p\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$$

is continuous.

Proof. The Schwartz kernel of the operator $\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)$ is $\left(\left(1\otimes\mathcal{F}^{-1}\right)a\right)\circ\mathtt{C}_\tau$. Therefore, $a\in\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{0}}_{2,\mathbb{V}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)\equiv L^2(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies that $\mathtt{Op}_\tau(a)\in\mathcal{B}_2\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$. Next we use the interpolation properties of Kato-Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{s}}_{p,\mathbb{V}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and of the Schatten ideals $\mathcal{B}_p\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$ to finish the theorem.

$$\begin{gathered}\left[\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{0}}_{2,\mathbb{V}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n),\mathcal{K}^{\mu\mathbf{n}}_{1,\mathbb{V}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)\right]_{\frac{2}{p}-1}=\mathcal{K}^{\mu\mathbf{n}(2/p-1)}_{p,\mathbb{V}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)\\ \left[\mathcal{B}_2\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right),\mathcal{B}_1\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)\right]_{\frac{2}{p}-1}=\mathcal{B}_p\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)\end{gathered}\quad,\quad 1\le p\le 2,$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{r}\left[\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{0}}_{2,\mathbb{V}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\right),\mathcal{K}^{\mu\mathbf{n}}_{\infty,\mathbb{V}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\right)\right]_{1-\frac{2}{p}}=\mathcal{K}^{\mu\mathbf{n}(2/p-1)}_{p,\mathbb{V}}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\right)\\ \left[\mathcal{B}_2\left(L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)\right),\mathcal{B}\left(L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)\right)\right]_{1-\frac{2}{p}}=\mathcal{B}_p\left(L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)\right)\end{array}\right.,\quad 2\leq p<\infty.$$

□

Appendix A. Convolution operators

Let V be an n dimensional real vector, V' its dual and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{V,V'}:V\times V'\to\mathbb{R}$ the duality form. We define the (Fourier) transforms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_V,\ \overline{\mathcal{F}}_V &: \mathcal{S}'(V)\to\mathcal{S}'(V'),\\ \mathcal{F}_{V'},\ \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{V'} &: \mathcal{S}'(V')\to\mathcal{S}'(V),\end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{F}_V u)(\xi) &= \int_V \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle x,\xi\rangle_{V,V'}}u(x)\mathtt{d}\mu(x),\\ (\overline{\mathcal{F}}_V u)(\xi) &= \int_V \mathtt{e}^{+\mathtt{i}\langle x,\xi\rangle_{V,V'}}u(x)\mathtt{d}\mu(x),\\ (\mathcal{F}_{V'} v)(x) &= \int_{V'} \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle x,\xi\rangle_{V,V'}}v(\xi)\mathtt{d}\mu'(\xi),\\ (\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{V'} v)(x) &= \int_{V'} \mathtt{e}^{+\mathtt{i}\langle x,\xi\rangle_{V,V'}}v(p\xi)\mathtt{d}\mu'(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

Here μ is a Lebesgue (Haar) measure in V and μ' is the dual one in V' such that Fourier's inversion formulas hold: $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{V'}\circ\mathcal{F}_V=\mathtt{1}_{\mathcal{S}'(V)}$, $\mathcal{F}_V\circ\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{V'}=\mathtt{1}_{\mathcal{S}'(V')}$. Replacing μ by $c\mu$ one must change μ' to $c^{-1}\mu'$. These (Fourier) transforms defined above are unitary operators $\mathcal{F}_V,\overline{\mathcal{F}}_V:L^2(V)\to L^2(V')$ and $\mathcal{F}_{V'},\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{V'}:L^2(V')\to L^2(V)$.

If $V=\mathbb{R}^n$ we use $\mu=m$ -Lebesgue measure in V with the dual $\mu'=(2\pi)^{-n}m$ in V', so that $\mathcal{F}_V=\mathcal{F}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{V'}=\mathcal{F}^{-1}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the usual Fourier transform.

Let $\lambda:\mathbb{R}^n\to V$ be a linear isomorphism. Then there is $c_\lambda\in\mathbb{C}^*$ such that for $u\in\mathcal{S}(V)$ we have

$$\mathcal{F}(u\circ\lambda)=c_\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_V u\right)\circ{}^t\lambda^{-1}.$$

Indeed, the measure $m\circ\lambda^{-1}$ is a Haar measure in V and therefore there is $c_\lambda\in\mathbb{C}^*$ such that $m\circ\lambda^{-1}=c_\lambda\mu$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(u\circ\lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\langle y,\cdot\rangle}(u\circ\lambda)(y)\,\mathtt{d}y=\int_V \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\left\langle\lambda^{-1}x,\cdot\right\rangle}u(x)\,\mathtt{d}\left(m\circ\lambda^{-1}\right)(x)\\ &= c_\lambda\int_V \mathtt{e}^{-\mathtt{i}\left\langle x,{}^t\lambda^{-1}\cdot\right\rangle_{V,V'}}u(x)\,\mathtt{d}\mu(x)=c_\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_V u\right)\circ{}^t\lambda^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly it is shown that there is $c'_\lambda\in\mathbb{C}^*$ such that for $w\in\mathcal{S}(V')$ we have

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(w\circ{}^t\lambda^{-1}\right)=c'_\lambda\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{V'}w\right)\circ\lambda.$$

Let us note that the above formulas hold for elements in L^2, $\mathcal{S}'$, ... Since the measures μ and μ' are dual, we obtain that $c_\lambda c'_\lambda=1$.

$$\begin{aligned}u\circ\lambda &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}(u\circ\lambda)=c_\lambda\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_V u\right)\circ{}^t\lambda^{-1}\right)\\ &= c_\lambda c'_\lambda\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{V'}\mathcal{F}_V u\right)\circ\lambda=c_\lambda c'_\lambda(u\circ\lambda)\\ &\Rightarrow\ c_\lambda c'_\lambda=1.\end{aligned}$$

Let $a : V' \to \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function. We introduce the densely defined operator $a(D_V)$ defined by

$$a(D_V) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{V'} M_a \mathcal{F}_V.$$

Lemma A.1. *Let $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \to V$ be a linear isomorphism. Then*
(a) *$u \in \mathcal{D}(a(D_V))$ if and only if $u \circ \lambda \in \mathcal{D}\left(\left(a \circ {}^t\lambda^{-1}\right)(D)\right)$.*
(b) *For any $u \in \mathcal{D}(a(D_V))$*

$$\left(a \circ {}^t\lambda^{-1}\right)(D)(u \circ \lambda) = a(D_V)\, u \circ \lambda.$$

Proof. (a) The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $u \in \mathcal{D}(a(D_V))$.
(ii) $a\mathcal{F}_V u \in L^2(V)$.
(iii) $(a\mathcal{F}_V u) \circ {}^t\lambda^{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
(iv) $\left(a \circ {}^t\lambda^{-1}\right)\left(\mathcal{F}_V u \circ {}^t\lambda^{-1}\right) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
(v) $\left(a \circ {}^t\lambda^{-1}\right)\mathcal{F}(u \circ \lambda) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
(vi) $u \circ \lambda \in \mathcal{D}\left(\left(a \circ {}^t\lambda^{-1}\right)(D)\right)$.
(b) Let $u \in \mathcal{D}(a(D_V))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\left(a \circ {}^t\lambda^{-1}\right)(D)(u \circ \lambda) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} M_{a\circ {}^t\lambda^{-1}} \mathcal{F}(u \circ \lambda) = c_\lambda \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[(M_a \mathcal{F}_V u) \circ {}^t\lambda^{-1}\right] \\ &= c_\lambda c'_\lambda \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{V'} M_a \mathcal{F}_V u\right) \circ \lambda = a(D_V)\, u \circ \lambda.\end{aligned}$$

□

If $a \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathtt{pol}}(V')$ then the operator $a(D_V) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{V'} M_a \mathcal{F}_V$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}'(V))$. In this case we have the following result.

Lemma A.2. *Let $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \to V$ be a linear isomorphism. If $a \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathtt{pol}}(V')$ then for any $u \in \mathcal{S}'(V)$*

$$\left(a \circ {}^t\lambda^{-1}\right)(D)(u \circ \lambda) = a(D_V)\, u \circ \lambda.$$

Proof. By continuity and density, it suffice to prove the equality for $u \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. But this is done in previous lemma. □

Suppose that $(V, |\cdot|_V)$ is an euclidian space and that a is symbol of the form

$$a = b\left(|\cdot|^2_{V'}\right),$$

where $b \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathtt{pol}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $|\cdot|_{V'}$ is the dual norm of $|\cdot|_V$.

Let $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \to V$ be a linear isometric isomorphism. Then ${}^t\lambda^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^n \to V'$ is a linear isometric isomorphism so that $|\cdot|_{V'} \circ {}^t\lambda^{-1} = |\cdot|$, where $|\cdot|$ is the euclidian in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It follows that

$$b\left(|\cdot|^2_{V'}\right) \circ {}^t\lambda^{-1} = b\left(|\cdot|^2\right).$$

Using the previous lemma we obtain the following result.

Corollary A.3. *Let $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \to V$ be a linear isometric isomorphism. If $b \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathtt{pol}}(\mathbb{R})$ then for any $u \in \mathcal{S}'(V)$*

$$b\left(|D|^2\right)(u \circ \lambda) = b\left(|D_V|^2\right) u \circ \lambda.$$

References

[A] G. Arsu, On Schatten-von Neumann class properties of pseudo-differential operators. Boulkhemair's method, http://arxiv.org/abs/0910.5316.

[A-Ca] R. F. Arens and A. P. Calderdn, Analytic functions of several Banach algebra elements, *Ann. Math.*, **62** (1955), 2, 204-216.

[B1] A. Boulkhemair, Estimations L^2 précisées pour des intégrales oscillantes, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations,* **22**(1997), 1&2, 165-184.

[B2] A. Boulkhemair, Remarks on a Wiener type pseudodifferential algebra and Fourier integral operators, *Math. Res. Lett.,* **4**(1997), 53–67.

[B3] A. Boulkhemair, L^2 estimates for pseudodifferential operators, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, *Classe di Scienze 4e série*, **22** (1995), 155–183.

[B4] A. Boulkhemair, L^2 Estimates for Weyl Quantization, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **165**(1999), 173-204.

[CS] Thierry Coquand and Gabriel Stolzenberg, The Wiener lemma and certain of its generalizations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **24** (1991), 1, 1-9.

[DS] M. Dimassi, J. Sjostrand, *Spectral asymptotics in the semi-classical limit*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, 268. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[Fei1] H. G. Feichtinger, *Modulation spaces on locally compact abelian groups,* Technical report, University of Vienna, Vienna, 1983.

[Fei2] H.G. Feichtinger, Banach convolution algebras of Wiener type, In *Function Series, Operators*, Vol.I, II (Budapest 1980), pages 509-524. North-Holland, Amsterdam 1983.

[Fei3] H. G. Feichtinger, Modulation spaces of locally compact Abelian groups, In R. Radha M. Krishna and S. Thangavelu, editors, *Proc. Internat. Conf. on Wavelets and Applications*, pages 1–56, Chennai, January 2002, 2003, Allied Publishers, New Delhi.

[Grö1] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of time frequency analysis*, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston MA, 2001.

[Grö2] K. Gröchenig, A Pedestrian Approach to Pseudodifferential Operators, In C. Heil, editor, *Harmonic Analysis and Applications: In honour of John J. Benedetto*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2006.

[Grö-He] K. Gröchenig and C. Heil, Modulation spaces and pseudodifferential operators, *Integral Equations Operator Theory,* **34** (1999), 439-457.

[He-Ra-To] C. Heil, J. Ramanathan, and P. Topiwala, Singular values of compact pseudodifferential operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **150** (1997), 426-452.

[Fo] G. B. Folland, *Real Analysis Modern Techniques and Their Applications*, Wiley, 1999.

[Hö1] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators*, I–IV, Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, 256-7, 274-5, Springer-Verlag (1983, 1985).

[Hö2] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, North-Holland mathematical library, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, Tokyo, 3rd ed., 1990.

[K] T. Kato, The Cauchy problem for quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic systems, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **58** (1975), 3, 181–205.

[Co-Me] R. R. Coifman et Y. Meyer, *Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, Asterisque n°57, Soc. Math. France, Paris (1978).

[Ru1] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1966.

[Ru2] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1973.

[S1] J. Sjöstrand, An algebra of pseudodifferential operators, *Math. Res. Lett.,* **1**(1994), 2, 189–192.

[S2] J. Sjöstrand, Wiener type algebras of pseudodifferential operators, *Séminaire EDP, École Polytechnique* (1994-95), Exposé 4.

[T1] J. Toft, Subalgebras to a Wiener type algebra of pseudo-differential operators, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **51**(2001), 1347-1383.

[Tri] H. Triebel, *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1978.

Institute of Mathematics of The Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-174, RO-70700, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: `Gruia.Arsu@imar.ro, agmilro@yahoo.com, agmilro@hotmail.com`